Heritage and Destiny

£3.00 / $6.00 Issue 83 | Stand Men of the West – Today is the day we fight! | March - April 2018

Towards a Momentum of the Right?
Traditional Britain and Generation Identity

An optimistic prognosis by Tony Paulsen – *see pages 18-19*

also in this issue:

Why the Confederacy was crushed
Eddy Morrison defends Dixie
see pages 14-15

and:

New Heydrich movie
The Man with the Iron Heart
reviewed by Mark Cotterill
see page 24

Editor: Mark Cotterill; Assistant Editor: Peter Rushton
Webmaster: Peter Rushton; Sales Manager: Anne Wright
Patrons: Dr. James Lewthwaite; J.R. Bell; Peter Marshall Ph.D.; David King; Dale Hildebeitel.
Address: 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE, Great Britain.
Tel: 44 (0) 7833 677484; Website address: www.heritageanddestiny.com
ISSN 1741-8941; Electronic Mail: heritageanddestiny@yahoo.com
Opinions expressed in articles are the authors' own, and should not be taken to represent *H&D's* viewpoint

Editorial

Welcome to issue 83 of *Heritage and Destiny*. I was recently talking with one of our local supporters in Preston about the number of high profile organisers and activists, who have just dropped out from our little movement, disappeared never to be seen or heard of again, over the last few years.

It's really incredible how many have quit and just how few of us there are left now. One such organiser was Steven Smith, of Burnley fame, who I never imagined would ever give up or quit, but he did, just like 99% of the famous Burnley BNP branch that was for a short while the official opposition on Burnley Borough Council!

Steven, along with Simon Bennett and a handful of other activists (who have all gone now) built Burnley BNP branch up from scratch, to be one of the most successful nationalist branches in the country, ever.

Who can forget Steven turning up to events in his suit of St. George's cross embroidered armour and quoting from memory the immortal words of William Shakespeare – which served as an example to all us nationalists: "We few, we happy few, we band of brothers."

Shakespeare of course wrote those words in his play *Henry V*. They were spoken by King Henry to what remained of the English army before the Battle of Agincourt. His men were sick, tired and vastly outnumbered by the approaching French army. All seemed lost. Yet, at the end of one of the most famous battles in our history, the English archer helped win the day and the pride of the mighty French army lay defeated and in disarray.

The battle of Agincourt happened just over 600 years ago, on St. Crispin's Day 1415. Shakespeare's words about the battle were themselves written over 400 years ago. Yet both the battle and his words about it are very applicable to the situation our little nationalist movement finds itself in today.

We nationalists are a few facing seemingly insurmountable odds. Yet we are nevertheless a happy few because we know that our struggle is just and our struggle will decide our race and nation's future survival or extinction. Finally, we are indeed a band of brothers (and sisters) bonded together by the eternal ties of blood, soil, race, history and culture.

Former Burnley BNP organiser and mega-activist, Steven Smith *(centre)* at an England First Party event in Blackburn, 2006. Like 95% of movement activists, Steven became disillusioned and walked away.

I remember explaining to Steven Smith that the nationalist movement is, of necessity, a cultural movement – as well as a political movement (or party as we were at the time). This is so because of the very nature of our beliefs. We see the present generation as merely the latest link in a chain which forms a continuum through time.

I explained to Steven that we in the nationalist movement are the custodians of our nation's heritage. Our purpose is to ensure that the link with our nation's past is not severed from its future. This being the case, and in order to heighten awareness of our culture and heritage, we should have been pushing cultural issues as well as political.

We should have been building a movement on solid sound foundations, that would weather the storm and last the course. Not one built on sand, which would fall just as quickly as it was built, as it was in the 1970s with its "march-election" strategy.

Sadly, under the leadership of Nick Griffin, that was not the case: nothing was learned from our mistakes of the '70s and '80s. Once our political (party) side crumbled, there was no cultural side to fall back on. The enemy had all but destroyed us, and within a few years even the most committed nationalists such as Steve Smith had given up and dropped out.

Those of us who are left, including us at *H&D* – "we few, we happy few, we band of brothers" – must keep our ideas alive, ready in the hope that another generation of young nationalists who come through will not make the same mistakes as we did.

If you are an active Nationalist, why not buy some extra copies of this issue to give out (or sell them!) at your next branch meeting – it's another great issue and should 'sell like hot cakes'! And as always we also need your regular donations – however large or small, every Pound, Dollar or even Euro counts. Please try and send in whatever you can afford. Thanks once again for your support, together we will win.

Heritage and Destiny

***Heritage and Destiny* reflects a cross-section of 21st century racial nationalist opinion. It is independent of all political organisations and parties. Unless stated otherwise, views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Heritage and Destiny* are the sole responsibility of the writers concerned and do not necessarily reflect the views of the editor or other staff.**

We welcome enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. However, the editor reserves the right to refuse to publish any advertisement submitted, without giving reasons. The appearance of any advertisement in *Heritage and Destiny* does not necessarily indicate that the editor knows anything about, endorses or is interested in the product, organisation, event or service being advertised.

Contributors and advertisers should bear in mind that *Heritage and Destiny* is subject to the laws of the United Kingdom, including our infamous race laws. Refusal to publish certain items should therefore not be taken as a personal or political affront!

The editor is pleased to receive articles for possible publication, preferably by disk or email. No payment is made for articles. Unless the writer specifically requests otherwise, articles become *Heritage and Destiny* copyright. The editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles submitted for publication. Those wishing to republish *Heritage and Destiny* items in other formats should be sure when doing so to give public acknowledgment to both the writer and *Heritage and Destiny* and to include in the acknowledgment the magazine's full address.

Catalonia and the case for an English Parliament

Many British nationalists (both civic and racial) think that the regionalisation of England will eventually lead to a Catalonian-style event happening in the UK. They may be correct. But is regionalism as much a threat to the British Union as regionalism is to the Spanish Union?

What is different to, say, Scotland or Wales, or even Cornwall, is that the modern independence movement in Catalonia only began in 2006, before then all they wanted was more autonomy. Catalonia shows the regionalist agenda ends up in calls for independence. Although to be honest the parallel between the Catalonian independence parties and The Yorkshire Party and Mebyon Kernow (being discussed on nutzy forums) should not worry the British government – at the moment anyway.

But by encouraging regionalism, the British government is sleepwalking into the same problems that Spain now has with Catalonia. Mrs May should also not ignore the fact that SNP and Plaid Cymru are in the same EU party as Catalonian independence parties. Which is not surprising, as all three of them go on about defending their identity and heritage, while at the same time welcoming in thousands of third world immigrants.

Real nationalists should remember that regionalisation is a process, not an end, because independence is the end result of regionalism. If English regionalism is encouraged (as it is/was by the EU) then dissolution of the UK will occur.

Our own "British regionalists", i.e. the SNP, Plaid Cymru and Sinn Fein, are all supporting Catalonian independence which puts them in direct opposition to Mrs May's Tory Government – and most (though not all) British nationalists.

Catalonia shows why the regionalisation of England could be disastrous for the British government – whoever is in power. Just think, Yorkshire First!

As Catalonian independence gains momentum and the Spanish government looks more like a headless chicken every day, it would be advisable for the British government to reflect on how disastrous the regionalisation of England could be. Many political commentators have wrongly thought of the parallels with Scotland and Wales but it is the governance of England that needs to be examined whilst discussing Catalonia not Scotland and Wales, because they are already countries and not simply regions. Their experiences are very different from that of Catalonia. It is England – and the British reaction to her governance – that is the true parallel.

To understand the lessons of the "Spanish Region" of Catalonia we first need to understand what English regionalisers believe. For them, England should be broken into nine regional areas (as advocated by the EU) in which strong local government and "identity" is promoted. Their vision is of powerful local officials directing and controlling the political direction of the region, independently from central government. John Prescott's North East referendum and the Greater Manchester and Merseyside Mayor are three clear examples of the Regionalist agenda. Local identity over central identity! For example: Catalonian over Spanish could easily be Mancunian over English or Scouse over English, the list is long but what is happening in Yorkshire is as clear as it gets. Yorkshire First!

To be fair to the Conservative government, the regionalist agenda has been predominately the 'lovechild' of the Labour Party. However many 'Remainers' in the Conservative Party support the concept as well. In political reality, regionalism has continued to be promoted as the way forward for the governance of England by all the main political parties. This is because regionalism has been seen as a way of answering an 'English Question' whilst appeasing the SNP and Plaid Cymru.

British Governments have shied away from creating an English Parliament because they have mistakenly thought it would lead to the break-up of the UK whereas in fact, the opposite is true. An English Parliament would be the glue that holds England and the UK together. It would be the common link, the bond that ensured shared responsibility and identity across England. This would, in turn, rob those regionalists who promote an over-emphasis on local identity of any grievances that would propel their movements towards independence.

Violent demonstrations in Catalonia have seen confrontations between the police and militant supporters of independence (*above*), but nationalists and conservatives have sought to defend Spain's territorial integrity – as seen (*below*) when supporters of the conservative People's Party held a demonstration at the regional parliament in Barcelona.

Unfortunately, due to the failure to create an English Parliament, we have seen in recent years the upsurge of the Cornish (civic) nationalist movement, who want fiscal autonomy and independence. Also the establishment of the Yorkshire First Party who want their own Yorkshire Parliament. These two examples start to sound very similar to how Spain has found itself in such a mess in Catalonia.

Sadly the main advocate of an English Parliament – in recent years anyway – has been the English Democrats party, who have been so discredited due to their leaders falling over backwards to recruit black and Asian candidates to show they are not racist! As well as trying to form an alliance with Sinn Fein (sadly I kid you not)! They are now an irrelevance, and poll even fewer votes than the BNP, which is saying something! However, their stupidity pushed the case for an English Parliament back and caused great harm to genuine nationalists who campaigned (and still campaign) for it.

A failure to address an issue didn't mean it goes away, it just finds another form to express itself. A form that may not be controllable or structured. Yorkshire has a population of 5.5 million people which is not dissimilar to Catalonia's 7.5 million, it now has the beginning of an independence movement, (admittedly only in its infancy) but it is not inconceivable that like Catalonia it will grow. Then the remote British government, without a politically closer English government holding England together, would be in the same trouble as the Spanish government is now in.

The ignorance and arrogance being shown towards England as a nation by the British government means it is not too difficult to imagine that an independent Yorkshire could happen. If that happened it would mean different agricultural, educational and health policies would exist within what is now England. England would have been hollowed out and that would lead to rapid growth of other independence movements across England such as perhaps in Lancashire or in Kent!

Spain's inability to stop Catalonian independence should be a wake-up call to the British government. It needs to answer the English question and that means creating an English Parliament so that England is both glued together and glued within the UK. Or is Yorkshire in five years or maybe ten years' time going to have police patrolling election stations?

Ryan Watson, Cheltenham, Gloucestershire

Farming, Fascism and Ecology – A life of Jorian Jenks by Philip M. Coupland

Farming, Fascism and Ecology – A life of Jorian Jenks by Philip M Coupland, published by Routledge (Taylor and Francis Group) – Part of the Routledge studies in Fascism & the Far Right series. 2017, ISBN 978-1-138-68862-9, paperback, 304pp. Available from Routledge, 2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN, or www.routledge.com for £30.99



Our much loved editor and all-round Good Guy doesn't like me. How do I know? Because he keeps sending me titles I have no previous experience with, so I am forced to read the whole book from Preface to Bibliography.

Why can't I be given a real fun work of complete fiction such as *Anne Frank's Diary* or maybe *Auschwitz Through The Looking Glass*. But no, it's time for some Green Fascism so let's get rolling!

This is the extraordinary story of the life of Jorian Jenks – agriculturalist, ecologist, farmer and Fascist. Jorian Jenks's first love was farming. Born in Oxford in 1899, he farmed briefly in New Zealand and Canada but lacked the capital that would have bought him his own farm in Britain. Yet he wrote extensively about farming, and after the second world war he was a pioneer of the organic movement and editor of the Soil Association's magazine *Mother Earth*.

There was another side to Jenks, however. In the 1930s he joined Oswald Mosley's British Union of Fascists. Though never one of its leaders, he was sufficiently prominent to be interned in 1940, along with 1,000 or so others, under Defence Regulation 18B. After the war he kept up his links with Mosley's organisation.

Jenks, who died in 1963, was ultimately a key player in the history of fascist ecology awareness. He was a regular contributor to the BUF's paper *Action* and also *The Fascist Quarterly*.

At a time when the Blackshirts were siding with the farmers of Norfolk against repossession, Jenks also brought the crisis of the destruction of rural England – a passion he kept all his life – into official British Union policy. [*Editor's note*: *The so-called 'Tithe War' began in 1933 around Norfolk and Suffolk, when the Church of England attempted to enforce its right to collect a special tax from farmers, instituted in medieval times when agriculture was a far more prosperous industry. Jenks and Suffolk BUF organiser Ronald Creasy (1909-2004) were in the forefront of organising Mosleyite support for farmers who were refusing to pay the tithe.*]

How easily the romantic attachment to the yeomen of old England can turn into real nationalism! Yet this was also a man who understood the land, and how we could destroy its fertility unless we treat it with care and respect.

Jorian Jenks – though the author Coupland makes much of his relative poverty – had the best education money could buy: at Haileybury (the public school that also produced Clement Attlee) and at Balliol College, Oxford. He came from the upper middle classes, and had their nationalism – joining the British Union of Fascists, he called for "a straightforward policy of national regeneration, with 'Britain first' as its watchword" – as well as their views on the Jewish problem.

His role in the British Union was very prominent though he is little talked of today in British Nationalist circles. A little research tells a different story and one only hesitantly covered by Coupland.

A member of the British Union of Fascists, he was the agricultural advisor to the party. He organised garden parties to raise funds for the BUF, a fairly common technique amongst the party's more affluent and rural supporters. A self-styled "critic of modern economy", he wrote for the BUF journal *Action* under the pseudonym 'Vergillius'. He was one of the group's most active members in Surrey, where a particularly active branch existed. He also wrote articles on animal husbandry for the non-BUF journal *New Pioneer*, an anti-Semitic publication founded in late 1938 by John Beckett and Lord Lymington. In 1936 Jenks was picked as candidate for the forthcoming general election for Horsham and Worthing.

Jenks took charge of the agricultural policy of the BUF, seeking to lead Britain to agricultural autarky. He called for import controls and the establishment of an Agricultural Land Bank in order to make farm debt more manageable as well as an Agricultural Corporation to fix prices and fit in with the BUF corporatist economic policy.

Landowners who were seen to be misusing their land would also be subject to compulsory purchase, with a Volunteer Land Army established to restore the reclaimed territory. Whilst Jenks' ideas were never put into practice it has been argued that they did affect government policy, as moves towards agricultural self-sufficiency became the cornerstone of policy in the late 1940s whilst earlier initiatives such as the British Empire Economic Conference and Import Duties Act 1932 also borrowed from Jenks' protectionist vision. Similarly the Defence Regulations included DR49 which allowed for compulsory land purchase, whilst the Agriculture Act 1947 allowed for price-fixing as Jenks had suggested.



Jorian Jenks (1899-1963) seen above during the 1930s, when he was an active supporter of Sir Oswald Mosley's British Union of Fascists

Although an important member of the BUF Jenks was something of a maverick who disagreed with leader Oswald Mosley on a number of issues. Unlike Mosley, who felt that British society was in rapid decline, Jenks felt that the country was in a slow Spenglerian decay. Neither did he share the BUF leader's unbounded faith in modern science, instead taking a more sceptical stance. Despite these disagreements Jenks had a personal loyalty to Mosley, describing him as having "twice Cobbett's intellect and none of Cobbett's bigotry". He remained a BUF member and in common with most of the leading figures in the group was (as mentioned earlier) detained under Defence Regulation 18B in 1940.

Indeed, in the run-up to the detentions Mosley's contingency plans (in the event that he was imprisoned) named Jenks as temporary leader in his absence, although this did not come to pass due to Jenks' own internment. Jenks was initially detained at Latchmere House in the London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames for interrogation, before being transferred to Walton Gaol where he was subject to 23-hour lockdown. He was released in 1941 and became a tenant farmer in Seaford, East Sussex.

After the war Jenks supported the stirrings of post-war British Fascism organising a 'Mosley Book Club' and worked with other 'camouflaged' groups such as Jeffrey Hamm's 'Union of Ex-Servicemen'. All these cover groups were absorbed when Mosley formed Union Movement in 1948.

Jenks joined and kept writing for UM publications: he remained a member of Union Movement until his death. Jenks certainly would also be tagged anti-Semitic for the rest of his life.

The book does give us a flavour of Jenks' love of English rural tradition seeing the mass urbanisation of Britain as the end of a 'Golden Age'. The rolling English hills that loom over G.K. Chesterton's rolling English road and house the rolling English yeoman hide many beautiful things and Jenks was of that Yeoman stock in both mind and body.

While Lefists lay claim to being the only ecological and environmental campaigners, Jenks (and others – one is reminded of the 'Blood and Soil' movement in National Socialist Germany) were among the first to fight to protect the blood and soil of England. He corresponded with Walter Darré who oversaw the development of Black-Green National Socialism. This blood and soil policy paralleled the work Jenks was doing in the BUF.

In 1950, Jenks' book *From The Ground Up* was published. He also published a book on his Nationalist Green ideas in *Spring Comes Again* which is again available and back in print.

In his post war years, he helped resurrect the Rural Reconstruction Association and was very active in both it and the Soil Association.

Jenks deserves greater recognition for that alone.

The author is a Left-Liberal and spends half his time praising Jenks and the other half attacking his politics.

Because Jenks is not well known in current White Nationalist circles then this book is a help in shining a light on the Green part of our ideology (though the attempt to replicate it in the 1980s by Nick Griffin's 'Third Position' was, predictably a failure with bankruptcy, political and financial, the only results of this venture).

I have often stated when asked about White Nationalist ideology that what we believe in 'is the political expression of Nature's Iron Laws' – and we ignore them to our cost. I'm pretty certain that Jorian Jenks would have heartily agreed with me.

A difficult book in many ways not helped by the odd chapterisation, but one which revealed to this reviewer much of which I was in complete ignorance in the beginnings of Nationalist Environmentalism.

Worth a read – definitely.

Reviewed by Edmond Morrison, Leeds, Yorkshire

Statement by Will Williams
Chairman of National Alliance

It has been more than three years since *H&D* reported that I had become the new Chairman of the U.S.-based National Alliance, and nearly that long since you interviewed me about NA's progress back then (Issue #65). To update *H&D* readers, I can report that our Alliance is now stabilized, solvent, and growing steadily, if slowly, after fighting off several court challenges by those who would destroy the organization that Dr. William Pierce founded nearly 45 years ago. Various widely-disseminated anti-NA "fake news" articles by "hate" watchdogs at the Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC), claiming the Alliance was finally dead, were proven abortive, as usual. Your 2015 interview of me helped refute the lies of our enemies. Thank you again for that and for occasionally mentioning the NA in the pages of *H&D*. SPLC is currently making a fuss over our annual Love Your Race campaign that peaks around mid-February (Valentine's Day), describing it as "hate." The fliers can be downloaded in 30 different languages, mostly European, from our Website, distributed and understood in nations where our people traditionally have lived.

I was surprised to read the full-page letter from convict Bill White in *H&D* issue #81, criticizing Martin Kerr for things he wrote in his review of the Jewish Hollywood movie *Imperium* in issue #79. Mr. Kerr's critique of the movie was accurate, particularly the part White took him to task for: saying American pro-White groups are not heavily infiltrated by highly-trained FBI agents. White, the defeatist, claims pro-White groups in the U.S. have always been infiltrated by the Feds and he can prove it with the million pages of government documents he has read in prison and the word of his prison buddies.

Bill White is right where he belongs, in prison. His notorious grandstanding activities in fringe political movements in the U.S. make me suspicious that White has Jewish roots, if his physical appearance is not enough to raise doubts. The only people who publish White in the U.S. are the disgraced frauds Harold Covington and Alex Linder, both virulently anti-National Alliance, but both spreading disinformation on the Internet – because they can, because our cause does not yet have the authority to police itself to be rid of such disruptive, counterproductive ilk and their convict buddy. Linder is currently providing a platform for an admitted thief and paid informant for the SPLC to smear the National Alliance and our leadership. This on a supposedly pro-White forum! Several years ago Linder encouraged White, who was a moderator on his forum at the time, to repeatedly make the outrageous, totally unsubstantiated claim that I am a murderer and child rapist! So I have felt the sting of White's poisonous pen personally. White, like Covington, is a constitutional liar, who will attack his betters, like Martin Kerr, Chief of Staff of New Order, a time-tested ally and serious National-Socialist of the very top rank. Yes, Bill White is right where he belongs. We in the Alliance thank the State for removing the disreputable troublemaker from our midst, for whatever reason they nailed him.

Will White Williams, National Alliance Chairman

NATIONAL ALLIANCE

Toward a New Consciousness; a New Order; a New People.

BOX 172 • LAUREL BLOOMERY • TN 37680

NATALL.COM • NATIONALVANGUARD.ORG

Britain's Secret Files on Nationalist Leader Colin Jordan – Part II

In March 1950 MI5 warned the Chief Constable of Birmingham that Colin Jordan was planning another meeting of his Birmingham Nationalist Club. The secret defenders of the realm had also learned that Jordan was "helping to stage a campaign against the admission of masses of Negro workers into Birmingham and hopes in this connection to hold some outdoor meetings in the future."

Non-White immigration was of course to become the main campaigning issue for British nationalists in the postwar world, though it had hardly figured at all during the General Election a fortnight earlier. This election (and its successor in October 1951) now seem notable for very high turnouts (almost 84% in 1951), an almost complete Labour-Conservative duopoly (the two big parties took 90% of the vote in 1950, and 97% in 1951), and the absence of nationalist candidates. Perhaps the latter phenomena have returned in our time, though we will surely never see such high turnouts again.

A dozen concerned Labour backbenchers had written to their leader, Prime Minister Clement Attlee, soon after the fateful arrival of West Indian migrants aboard the *Empire Windrush* in 1948 (see *H&D* 71), but immigration was not to become a big issue for British voters until the late 1950s. So why was MI5 concerned about Colin Jordan? After all, as their own source reported: "Jordan admits that at present he has only a small following, and that there are just over a dozen subscribing members of the Birmingham Nationalist Club."

Francis Parker Yockey, the American national socialist intellectual and author of *Imperium*, differed sharply with Jordan in his interpretation of Soviet policy in the Cold War.

Even after the publication of these MI5 files on Jordan, we cannot be sure of the bigger picture, but there are two likely factors to be remembered. We now know that during the Second World War, when a large number of nationalists (mainly members of Sir Oswald Mosley's banned British Union of Fascists) were interned, MI5 ran a big operation designed to entrap opponents of the war. This centred on an MI5 officer called Eric Roberts, who built a network among uninterned Mosleyites and other nationalists.

This allowed MI5 to have a fairly complete picture of who mattered on the "far right" scene, and to supplement intelligence from Roberts with telephone and mail intercepts on selected targets. The Board of Deputies of British Jews also had their own intelligence operations and periodically cooperated with state authorities, despite some postwar friction between MI5 and the Jewish community due to the latter's terrorist campaign against British targets. So although nationalists were not a significant force in British politics for the first decade after the war, MI5 had a disproportionate amount of intelligence about this scene, as a hangover from the service's wartime focus.

Another important factor was the international context. Though it was very soon apparent that there was no prospect of a serious national socialist resistance to Anglo-American occupation forces in Germany, MI5 and their colleagues in the intelligence service MI6 remained concerned about such groups because of their potential importance in the Cold War. If there were to be a Third World War against the Soviet Union, the loyalties of old Hitler and Mussolini supporters would be important.

As we shall see later in this series of articles, the British state was (as today) obsessed by any evidence that Moscow might be influencing radical nationalists in the West to take an anti-NATO line, or merely to act as troublemakers in Moscow's interests.

In the post-Mosley generation, Jordan was a rare example of a Cambridge-educated nationalist. His politics were already more radical than Mosley's new post-fascist and pro-European Union Movement, but he had not yet fully committed himself to the alternative strand in mid-20th century British nationalism led by Arnold Leese. This Leeseite tradition was originally far smaller than Mosley's, but the movement as we knew it from the 1960s to the 2000s was to be shaped very much in the mould of Leese rather than O.M.

During 1950 MI5 began to note Jordan's involvement with Leese's two principal lieutenants, Anthony Gittens and Anthony Baron, who in the sad tradition of our movement absolutely hated each other! In July a mail intercept revealed discussion between two leading Mosleyites – Brian Richardson and Alf Flockhart: Jordan had been in contact with the former, who noted scornfully that he associated with "a host of cranks of the egoistic Arnold Leese, Anthony Gannon and 'The Britons' type."

In fact these had little in common other than being anti-Jewish and rivals to Mosley. Tony Gannon was a young ex-Mosleyite who had backed the American national socialist intellectual Francis Parker Yockey, splitting from Mosley to form the European Liberation Front in 1948. As Gannon was later to note: "I am sure that Yockey never met Arnold Leese. Leese detested FPY without ever having known him." Aside from personality clashes, the differences could be summarised thus – both Yockey and Mosley were in favour of European unity, while Leese and the Britons were vehemently against; Yockey was culturally anti-Jewish, Leese and the Britons racially anti-Jewish, Mosley now much less focused on Jewry; Yockey and his followers were anti-NATO and neutralist in the Cold War (which in practice arguably meant pro-Soviet), while Mosley, Leese and the Britons (whatever their other differences) were fundamentally anti-Soviet.

Richardson noted that Jordan "does not agree personally with our policy of European Union", but this was not yet cause for an inevitable breach. Significantly Richardson said he had written to Jordan "explaining that our policy of European Union cannot be properly appreciated by anyone but an ex-Nationalist and that we do in a sense adhere to Nationalist principles in so far that we advocate a Union of Europe to become independent of the rest of the world. So that, in a sense, we now advocate 'European Nationalism'; the extension of Patriotism."

The question for UM's leaders was whether to continue contact with Jordan, aiming to recruit him to their side, or to shun him as one more cranky extremist. As it happened the Mosleyite revival was already fizzling out, and during the early 1950s relations remained cordial but ineffectual. Jordan continued to advertise his mail order book service in the Mosleyite journal *Union*, and individual Mosleyites in the Midlands continued to associate with his tiny Birmingham Nationalist Club, but the authorities' main concern was with various nationalists' attitude to the Cold War.

During 1950-52 Jordan had been teaching in Leeds, but by January 1953 he was operating from a new address in Leamington Spa where he had moved with his parents and had a small office at the back of the family home. MI5's main interest for a while was whether Jordan was actually running a branch of the Nationalist Information Bureau (NATINFORM), founded in 1951 by Anthony Baron after he had been ousted from control of The Britons, splitting from Leese and Gittens.

Born in Preston and ten years older than Jordan, Baron had for some years been based in Suffolk. His right-hand man in NATINFORM was Peter Huxley-Blythe, who in 1948 had split from Mosley to support Francis Parker Yockey and Tony Gannon in the European Liberation Front. However by 1952 he had switched from Yockey's anti-American position to join Baron's equally anti-Jewish but also anti-Soviet NATINFORM. Aside from Baron's home in Suffolk and Huxley-Blythe's in Lancashire, the only branch MI5 knew of was in Oldenburg, Germany, where a former Hitler Youth leader called Wolfgang Sarge had an office distributing NATINFORM publications. A source had reported plans for a "world congress of ex-soldiers" to be held in Dublin early in 1953 under NATINFORM's auspices.

No further information about this NATINFORM connection appears in the file, either because Jordan didn't develop his links with the group, or perhaps because NATINFORM was actually operating with the blessing of MI6 and the CIA to influence German nationalists in a more anti-Soviet direction.

From March 1954 the file records Jordan spending some time at his father's address in Scotland, but continuing to use his mother's home in Leamington Spa for correspondence. In March 1956 Warwickshire's Chief Constable reported that Jordan was back in the area, and when not engaged in politics was apparently working as a sales representative for a Newcastle-based firm. It wasn't clear how long he had held this job, but by May 1956 he had left the firm and was signing on as unemployed. A few months later in 1956 or 1957 he obtained a teaching post at a secondary modern school in Coventry.

From 1955 to 1957 Colin Jordan was active with the League of Empire Loyalists, whose militant opposition to the government's foreign and colonial policies included frequent disruption of Conservative Party events (as above with leading LEL activist Austen Brooks).

Regular articles by or featuring Jordan appeared in The Britons' journal *Free Britain*, mostly on anti-immigration topics, but entries in MI5's Jordan file during 1954-5 are mainly cross-references from Special Branch reports, merely noting the appearance of these pieces.

In October 1955 Special Branch noted Jordan's presence at the *Candour* Dinner held in central London, and this event seems to have been a launchpad for *Candour* editor A.K. Chesterton's new League of Empire Loyalists. At the LEL's annual meeting on 29th October he was elected to its executive committee, soon being appointed Midlands organiser. A few weeks later he joined a group of twenty LEL activists (including campaign director Austen Brooks and organising secretary Miss Leslie Greene) who carried out organised heckling to disrupt a meeting at Westminster Central Hall of a liberal internationalist group, the Parliamentary Association for World Government. During the same month Jordan and a fellow Birmingham nationalist were involved in a minor punch-up with communist trade unionists, hysterically reported by the left as a fascist attempt to smash the workers' movement!

LEL's main campaign issues were anti-communism and opposition to the abandonment or 'scuttling' of Britain's remaining imperial possessions. Jordan's first book *Fraudulent Conversion* was published by The Britons shortly before LEL's launch, and its thesis that Soviet Communism remained an ally or tool of World Jewry was very much in line with Chesterton (while strongly opposed to Yockey). In April 1956 Jordan challenged the Conservative Party's Leamington agent to a public debate over the government's invitation to Soviet leaders Khrushchev and Bulganin to visit Britain. This anti-communist protest was a big campaign issue for the LEL, and was especially relevant in Leamington because Prime Minister Anthony Eden happened to be the local MP. Painted slogans began to appear on local bridges, reading "Eden Must Go – Keep Britain Great – LEL".

On the arrival of the Soviet leaders in July, Jordan and Leslie Greene were arrested and fined £10 each for "using insulting words and behaviour". This is the file's first evidence of Jordan being convicted. He had also been active with local Ukrainian emigrés in anti-Soviet protests. Temporarily unable to obtain teaching work in Warwickshire, it seemed obvious to police and MI5 that he was obtaining some unofficial financial support from Chesterton and the LEL, but they also suspected he had contacts with Union Movement members in the Midlands including Peter Ling and Richard Tynan (it was unclear whether the latter was LEL or UM).

The main campaigning style of the LEL in those days involved high profile and sometimes physically dangerous disruption of both left-wing and official Conservative Party events. In June 1956 Jordan joined some of the usual suspects (Austen Brooks, Leslie Greene *et al.*) in disrupting a Westminster meeting of the far-left Movement for Colonial Freedom addressed by Aneurin Bevan, the young Tony Benn, and Canon Collins of St Paul's Cathedral. The *Daily Express* reported that Jordan was struck on the head by a black MCF steward.

In September 1957 a report from Special Branch for the first time mentions Jordan's role in a group called The Frei Korps, which was given its own MI5 file (OF1412). This seems to have been a direct action group, carrying out vandalism such as painting slogans on public buildings, but Special Branch and MI5 reports claim it was a much bigger threat. Chesterton had been concerned from the start that militant factions might form within LEL: given the League's structure there was no chance of him being ousted in an internal coup, but there was a danger of 'extremists' recruiting for a more hardline breakaway. Chesterton therefore asked Jordan (presumably because he was both young, and therefore in touch with radicals, but also a Cambridge man, so could be trusted) to keep an eye out for any such developments and report back to him on any suspicious characters.

As a Special Branch report noted: "it is ironical [*sic*] that at that time Jordan was himself secretly conspiring with two other League members, John Bean and Peter Ling, and one Peter Greenslade, all one time members of Union Movement, the organisation led by Sir Oswald Mosley, in an attempt towards the formation of a political group to operate clandestinely within the League unbeknown to Chesterton."

This 'conspiracy' led to the formation of the Frei Korps, which Special Branch described as "a quasi-military National Socialist group. Its main objects, which were never clearly defined, appeared to have been directed towards terrorising Jews, negroes and Communists in the United Kingdom, and the eventual establishment of a National Socialist dictatorship."

Jordan was leader of this Frei Korps, and Croydon-based Greenslade was his deputy. We need to be careful about the file's use of the word "terrorising", since a 1950s policeman (in an era before the Provisional IRA, let alone Al-Qaeda) might not have meant what we would today understand by 'terrorism'. However Special Branch was clearly concerned enough to monitor and eventually disrupt the group's plans, noting that "many 'wildcat' schemes were envisaged, but fortunately most of these were reduced to harmless and ridiculous escapades through lack of funds."

In September 1957 Jordan travelled to Brighton with Bean, Greenslade and a few other Frei Korps members (presumably including the Special Branch informant), where "they daubed a monument on the main London/Brighton road with anti-Semitic and pro-Fascist slogans. The operation had been carefully planned, and was carried out

most effectively; letters and symbols over six feet high were painted in tar on a background of white stone."

This was enough for the authorities to risk showing their hand in order to frighten the group into giving up any further plans. Peter Greenslade was visited by police whose questions gave away that the authorities knew a great deal about the group. Consequently Greenslade and Jordan decided to close down the Frei Korps. Around the same time Chesterton expelled Jordan from the League, having become aware (perhaps after a tip-off from the authorities) that he was part of the very hardline faction he had been asked to spy on.

For a while Jordan considered giving up politics and even emigrating, since he realised that the sort of militant action he had envisaged would inevitably be frustrated by state surveillance before it could achieve anything serious. Instead he opted for lower profile background work, mainly connected to an intellectual journal called *Northern World*, that was about to launch a new organisation – the Northern League.

Jordan became the UK representative for *Northern World*, which had been launched in the spring of 1956 by Roger Pearson, a 29-year-old British accountant based in Calcutta after having served in India at the end of the Second World War. Pearson was known to the authorities as an occasional contributor to Mosley's intellectual journal *The European*. His main collaborators in *Northern World* and the Northern League included (alongside Jordan) Alistair Harper, a 28-year-old Scottish schoolteacher who half a century later was to be a parliamentary candidate for UKIP and finally a BNP activist. Harper became editor of the League's newspaper *The Northlander*.

Colin Jordan's closest allies in the late 1950s included (*above left*) John Bean, who founded the National Labour Party in 1958, with Jordan's background co-operation; and (*above right*) Dr Roger Pearson who set up the journal *Northern World* in 1956. Jordan was the UK representative for *Northern World* and helped build the Northern League, which grew out of the journal and formed an international network.

Despite its cultural and academic focus, *Northern World* was viewed by MI5 as propagating views which "follow familiar Fascist lines, emphasising the idea of 'North European' unity and showing the usual anti-semitic bias," but the authorities were again mainly concerned about international connections. In December 1958 MI6 contacted their MI5 counterparts, apparently at the request of the West German security services, asking for a report on the Northern League. This was supplied by Jack Cradock (who will be remembered by some *H&D* readers as the chief MI5 representative in Ulster during the mid-1970s). He noted that the Northern League had recruited NATINFORM's Peter Huxley-Blythe in mid-1958, but took a rather cynical view of the League's prospects:

"The picture that emerges therefore is one of a number of young men of Fascist sympathies trying to establish yet another small extreme right-wing nationalist organisation which can be expected to follow the usual Fascist lines. It is early to predict, but present signs are that like so many of its predecessors it will eventually wither away, probably because its leading figures have either quarrelled among themselves or lost interest. But for the time being they are probably full of enthusiasm and are trying to make contact with people of like sympathies in other European countries."

Cradock's cynicism proved unjustified. The Northern League was not overtaken by careerism or factionalism and continued to concern Jewish leaders. MI5's files shed a little light on the latter's "anti-fascist" operations, which involved the Jewish establishment as well as the well known street gangs. In the spring of 1960 the most eminent figure in the Anglo-Sephardi community, Robert Nunes Carvalho, president of the Anglo-Jewish Association, handed a secret report to Foreign Secretary Selwyn Lloyd. This memorandum was titled *The Nazi International*, and (though its full contents are not shown) it was clearly an alarmist, not to say paranoid, portrait of connections between British and European "nazi" groups.

The German publication *Nation Europa* – one of the main German contacts for Pearson's Northern League – was highlighted in this Jewish report, as were connections with pan-European groups such as New European Order. Eileen McNab of the Foreign Office department handling intelligence and security liaison wrote to MI5 for their comments, but the reply was fairly dismissive:

"One point which should be stressed is the fact that there are so many of these small right-wing groups which lack any real unifying force. In a sense, this is paralleled by the small groups in this country which suffer from the same process of fragmentation, there being no single dominating personality to hold them all together. The whole philosophy of Fascism depends on there being a leader, and this is precisely what the neo-Fascist movements lack today.

"The conclusion that we have reached is that insofar as the UK is concerned, there is no evidence to suggest that the anti-semitic incidents which took place earlier this year were inspired by any contacts between British Fascists or extremists and those of similar persuasion in Europe. Moreover, although we would not deny that Fascists in various countries in Europe do have contact with each other we have never seen any evidence to suggest that there is a 'Nazi International' capable of coordinating and directing the attitude of British Fascists. Our own belief is that the few anti-semitic incidents that occurred in this country were the work of either hooligans or a few unbalanced individuals of the kind who are so frequently to be found in the extreme right-wing organisations in this country."

While both Jordan himself and the MI5 officers monitoring the "far right" remained more interested in European contacts than in UK activity, his old LEL and Frei Korps comrade John Bean set up a new anti-immigration movement, the National Labour Party. Special Branch reported on the NLP's inaugural meeting on 24th May 1958 at The Carpenters Arms in Bethnal Green, East London. (This was the local pub for the notorious Kray twins, who in fact bought the Carpenters Arms in 1967 and used it as an unofficial headquarters for their gangland enterprises. However at the time of this NLP meeting the 24-year-old twins were still relatively minor figures, and the NLP having chosen their local pub for its launch meeting was probably a coincidence.)

John Bean told the meeting that Jordan had written to him saying that he wanted to allow the new party to get "a good start" before joining it himself, and in any case was keen to avoid Special Branch knowledge of his interest. Jordan now began producing a six-page occasional newspaper titled *Black and White News*, which was sold for sixpence at NLP meetings, though it was not an official party organ and Jordan was not a party member.

The cover story of one issue contained in the MI5 files has the headline 'Blacks Invade Britain':

"Here is a familiar sight in Britain today. Another immigrant boat has

docked and from it another train packed with blacks has pulled into a British city. Already over 100,000 have come from the West Indies alone. Huge numbers of other coloured people have come from all over the world, including no less than 100,000 Indians and Pakistanis and other Asiatics. The total so far is in the region of 250,000.

"This is only the beginning. More are coming every day. The Government refuses to do anything about it, and in the coloured countries the birth rate is soaring. How many coloured people will there be in Britain in 10 years' time?

"They are coming to stay, and to make your country their country too. They are coming to live with you in your town, maybe in your street. Sooner or later you will be finding them next to you in your restaurant, cinema, factory, office, club, hospital, swimming pool. Their children will be brought up hand in hand with yours at school. They will mix later on. Quite likely they will marry later on. How do you feel about it?"

Special Branch described *Black and White News* as containing "nothing but articles of a rabidly anti-negro vein", but in fact numerous articles were reprinted from the mainstream press. Sixty years later much of the content would be against UK law, but it is remarkable to read for example a front page article that Jordan took from the *Daily Herald*, a Labour supporting newspaper:

"Colour prejudice has become savagely alive in London, active in Birmingham and rampant in Manchester. Blame unemployment – swelling queues at the Labour Exchanges – as a basic cause of this new fear and resentment of coloured people. ('They will steal our jobs.')

"But blame too, a nasty vice wave, a growing dope racket, and a network of coloured crooks' kitchens – whole areas turned into festering 'black ghettos' of corruption and violence. For these are the immediate things that have triggered off the prejudice.

"Three nights ago, in a Moss Side pub in Manchester, I saw a coloured man slap a white woman's face and say: 'Get back on the street and earn your keep.' Outside, I told her I had witnessed it and asked if she would like me to call the police. 'Mind your own business!' she snapped.

"Off Berwick St., in the more sordid section of London's vice-land, I've had hashish 'roll-ups' offered at half-a-crown a smoke by coloured peddlars, evidently with enough customers to pay for the sleek, streamlined cars they drove off in.

"In Birmingham's Balsall Heath, off Belgrave Road – the 'Burma road' as it's called – I've seen brawls between prostitutes and their coloured 'protectors'. I've met sad-eyed Jamaicans and scar-faced West Africans who admit that dope-peddling is their main means of livelihood. They admit they want the money to escape from the overcrowding which herds most of Birmingham's 30,000 coloured people three in a room at £2 a head. They don't admit that their dope creates teenage addicts who become prostitutes under their control.

"Things are far worse in Manchester, where there are two or three coloured vice kings who are as rich and powerful as ever the notorious Messina brothers were. [*The Messina brothers were Maltese gangsters who controlled prostitution in Soho until the early '50s.*] Round Denmark Road, Moss Side, I've seen the 'caffs' they patronise, with jukebox music blaring in the early hours of the morning, with white girls jiving on the pavement with coloured men.

"The few white people who remain in this once-prosperous residential district are scared to come out at night, when the streets are packed with excitable groups of coloured men arguing over girls. Women passers-by are greeted with obscenities, or even attempts to stop them."

Britain was changing – but the best-known pro-Labour newspaper in the country was still able and willing to publish this on its front page!

To their eternal shame, mainstream politicians did nothing to stop this transformation of Britain, and because it was at first confined to a few inner city areas, most voters at first showed little concern. The MI5 files show that Jordan was the common factor in three very different simultaneous responses by racial nationalists, and it could be inferred that there was a deliberate strategy to have a multifaceted approach.

Peter Rushton, Manchester, England

Editor's note: Part III of this series will appear in H&D *Issue 84.*

UKIP ditches leader as death spiral continues

The big story of early 2018 in quasi-nationalist politics was the demise of Henry Bolton, latest captain of the sinking ship UKIP. Bolton won UKIP's leadership after a long-drawn out election, with the result announced on September 29th last year. As regular readers will remember, this was one more faltering step by that party which has never recovered from its finest hour – the Brexit referendum victory of 2016.

Less than a fortnight after that Brexit vote, UKIP's only successful leader Nigel Farage announced his retirement, timed specifically to prevent his longtime rival Suzanne Evans from being able to stand for election as his successor (she was temporarily suspended from the party).

First choice of the Farage faction was unstable half-caste Steven Woolfe, but mysteriously Woolfe failed to submit his nomination papers on time, so was disqualified from the election.

So the leadership devolved on former Tory Diane James, who quickly demonstrated that she had little interest in or aptitude for the job. Ms James quit the leadership less than three weeks after her election: in fact she was never officially registered as UKIP leader, and left the party at the end of November 2016.

So Steven Woolfe had a second chance and was again backed as the Farage faction's candidate – but in October 2016 Woolfe was discredited after a peculiar and still unexplained scuffle in a European Parliament corridor with fellow UKIP MEP Mike Hookem. Once again the Farageists had to settle for a second choice candidate, Woolfe's fellow North-West MEP Paul Nuttall, and once again the new leader proved hopelessly unsuitable.

Nuttall quit after leading UKIP to a disastrous General Election result in 2017. At first the frontrunner for Farage's faction seemed to be homosexual London Assembly member Peter Whittle, but after lesbian Islamophobe Anne Marie Waters began to dominate the campaign with incendiary EDL-style rhetoric, and Whittle began to echo some of her themes, Farage denounced the danger of such 'extremism'. While he never officially endorsed anyone in the 2017 leadership contest, the 'respectable' armchair membership rallied behind Henry Bolton, seen as a 'safe pair of hands' given his past as an international security adviser with seemingly high-level Army links.

Yet as readers of *H&D*'s Movement News column will know, Bolton failed to reverse UKIP's electoral collapse. Moreover, having won the leadership as a 'safe' and 'moderate' candidate who denounced 'racism', Bolton was rapidly engulfed by multiple scandals. The 54-year-old leader abandoned his wife and infant children for an affair with Jo Marney, a 25-year-old model who was then 'exposed' in the press for posting 'racist' messages online about the victims of the Grenfell Tower fire and the half-caste fiancée of Prince Harry.

The entire UKIP National Executive called on Bolton to resign, but he defied these demands and (backed by Farage) called for an emergency conference of the entire party membership to overturn the Executive. Farage and his ally Arron Banks had long been angling for a change in the party constitution to give supreme power to the leadership and reduce the Executive's role: briefly they imagined that Bolton's situation might be a lever to achieve this change, but quickly realised that he had lost credibility.

63% of the 1,400 members at the special conference voted to remove Bolton. After threatening legal action he soon gave up and has quit the party, leaving a long-time internal opponent of Farage, London MEP Gerard Batten, as caretaker leader. Probable rivals to Batten in the forthcoming leadership election will include half-caste David Kurten, a member of the London Assembly. Farage and Banks might well support Kurten, but are set to forge ahead with the plan to abandon UKIP in favour of a new, non-party Brexit pressure group.

Peter Rushton, Manchester, England

Book Review – *Hunting Grounds: A Scottish Football Safari* - by Gary Sutherland

Hunting Grounds: A Scottish Football Safari by Gary Sutherland, Published by Birlinn General, 2008, 242 pages, paper-back, ISBN: 978-1-78027-096-8, available for £9 (incl. p&p) from Birlinn General, West Newington House, 10 Newington Road, Edinburgh EH9 1QS, Scotland, UK or online at www.birlinn.co.uk or from www.amazon.co.uk

The author of this fascinating book relates his adventures in attempting to see a football match at every ground in the Scottish Football League within one season. Reality dictates, since there are forty-two teams and not that many weeks in the schedule, that he often had to attend games on weekday nights. Added to the difficulty of the task is that this was to be done by public transportation and walking. – much, much walking. Given the Scottish climate, it demanded venturing out as the author puts it, in "howling wind, torrential rain, snow, sleet and that other phenomenon which I'll call 'sleesh', which is bit like sleet only wetter, though not quite rain, and unique to Scotland."



However, Sutherland's efforts were well worth it. Now, at long last we have a book that states and reflects upon anything anybody would or will ever want to know about the intense but almost never engaged rivalry between Falkirk and East Stirlingshire, the ratings of all the pies offered at every ground (in case you are curious Dunfermline Athletic's steak bridie got the title, while Ayr United's pie was first in Sutherland's Rogue Pie Gallery of Shame), the talents of assorted mascots, comparative chants, stadium DJ, gift shops and so on. And, yes, the football itself is evaluated along with the pubs near the grounds and their offerings.

This is a detailed, yet light hearted, romp for anyone who takes football, simultaneously, very seriously, but also as a great source of simple fun. But the fun itself is not without some serious reflection. As the anonymous author of the book's Introduction (and I say anonymous because after an extensive search throughout the book, as well as its covers, for the man's name, it still is nowhere to be found) writes, "I share Gary Sutherland's belief that you can pursue enlightenment in the most mundane places – Glebe Park, the pie-hut at Montrose and the historic Portaloo that once disinfected urine with considerable dignity at Dumbarton's Boghead Stadium."

We leave aside the issue – and it was once quite an issue – about the state of the Boghead's toilets. And, simply note for the non-initiated, that Dumbarton, founded in 1872, plays in the Championship division of Scottish football and is located in yes, Dumbarton, on the River Clyde and not far from Glasgow. Its attendance averages around the one thousand mark.

Robert Christgau, a New York rock critic, once described the Ramones, a proto-punk band, born in the 1970s, as "pursuing transcendence in the mundane." Christgau is not a religious man but, nonetheless, he believed that peak experiences could be achieved midst life's seemingly "simple experiences."

One finds an echo here of an English writer, whose perspective was decidedly Christian, G. K. Chesterton. His book, *Tremendous Trifles*, first published in 1909, was also committed to finding wondrous fulfillment, indeed, enchantment, in life's mundane experiences. I suppose anyone who has loved things – hobbyists, collectors, football supporters, pet owners and the like will all testify to the meanings they have found in everything from their home gardens to their Conference-level football teams. As Chesterton wrote, "The world will never starve for want of wonders but only for a want of wonder." Gary Sutherland has an ample, a near mystical sense of "wonder." The book is a laugh out loud delight.

"That's fine," muses the more somber of this journal's readers. What has all this to do with The Struggle? Why would the Political Soldier (to revive a phrase of the late 1980s) want to travel to Gayfield Park, there to watch, as gale force winds sweep in from the North Sea, Arbroath (founded 1878) host East Stirlingshire (founded 1881) in a Third Division contest? Shouldn't the devout nationalist be out leafleting or reading a somber tome on, say, social credit?

(Digressionary full disclosure for dismissive readers – Yes, obviously global capitalism, mass market consumerism and economic exploitation are very relevant matters and, yes, Major Douglas's theories are worthy of reflection!)

But what have games played in small parks with local crowds at a level of football light years removed from the money rooted powers of international play, to do with the Revolution? Will Elgin and Brechin and Alloa and all the others alter the political, social and cultural conscience of individuals?

Refreshment at Somerset Park, as Ayr United host Stenhousemuir

Arbroath's Gayfield Park in typical Scottish weather!



Dumbarton's Boghead Stadium, with roof recycled from a redundant local railway station

In Jean Raspail's classic novel, *The Camp of the Saints*, after Professor Calgues sets the table in his stately ancestral home, there to await the "Last Chance Armada" we read: "Each object in this house proclaimed the dignity of those who lived here – their discretion, their propriety, their reserve, their taste for the solid traditions that one generation can pass on to the next, so long as it still takes pride in itself. ...It's a man's things that define him, far more than the play of ideas; which is why the Western World had come to lose its self respect..."

"It is man's things that define him." Stately homes, art and literature for the wealthy. Football, with its pies, programmes and pints; with its loyalty to ancestral places and teams, with its songs and banters and local friendships – all these are also part of how Western Man defines himself.

Consider the grandfathers, fathers and children who'd rather be battling the cold to watch Forfar Athletic take on Cowdenbeath than snug at home turned to the television there to see the "super teams" perform for profits unknown to ancient royalty.

Are not the Ayr versus Brechin match attendees performing a profound political act, indeed a subversive act, by opting for the local, the family, the past as opposed to surrendering before an all-conquering international consumerism?

When all is said and done, what is it that we struggle for? Yes, it is about the people, the race (perhaps the nation, although, certainly not the state) and the life they live. Yes, ideas to some extent. Recall, however, how diverse the nationalist camp is on everything from religion, to political/economic theory, to Union Jacks versus Celtic Crosses etc.

What unites us is what the Kinks sang of in *Autumn Almanac*, "I like my football on a Saturday, roast beef on Sunday, all right." It is this, as well as chivalrous romance, personal honor, the memory of parents, of everyone's Penny Lane. It is food and architecture and quiet rivers and fusball played in a pub which really unites.

And is not this for which every nationalist has sallied forth to protect and preserve that which he loves for those who despise and would destroy it?

I suspect that Gary Sutherland might not get to what extent that which he loves is threatened today. But we, who do get it, owe him a thank you for having, with wit and pluck, taught us of the substance and meaning to be found whenever Albion Rovers and Stenhousemuir clash.

Reviewed by Hugh Perry, New York City, USA.

Editor's note; Hugh Perry, a long-standing nationalist activist and the author of this review, tells me he struggles mightily to keep abreast of lower-league Scottish football from New York City. However, there are no shortage of bars in Manhattan showing the Celtic v Rangers 'old-firm' games!

Subscribe to Heritage and Destiny

To make sure of your regular copy of Heritage and Destiny why not become a subscriber? The following rates are for the next six issues: USA/Canada $48.00; British Isles £26.00; Worldwide £32.00/$48.00.

Bulk Rates

Discounts for bulk purchases are as follows: 10 copies – £18.00; 20 copies – £30.00; 30 copies – £40.00: 40 copies - £50.00 Postage is free within the UK. For over 50 copies in the UK or US/Worldwide rates please contact our office.

Back Issues

All back issues (numbers 1-82) are also available at £4.00/$6.00 each (post-paid).

Enamel Pin Badges now available

We now have the following high quality Patriotic enamel pin badges for sale: British Independence Day - June 23 2016: Enoch was Right; Union Jack flag; St. George Cross flag; St. Andrew's Cross flag; Welsh Dragon flag; Northern Ireland flag; St. Patrick's Cross flag: Red Hand: Red, White & Black Celtic Cross; Red, White & Blue Celtic Cross; Black & White Celtic Cross; Keep St. George in my heart; English & Proud; England No Surrender; 100% English; 100% Loyalist; England flag shield; English Red Rose; English White Rose; English 3 lions; Ulster Loyalist; English Bulldog; English Lion; England Youth Firm; Chrome Celtic Cross; Chrome Tree of Life; Chrome Sunwheel; Chrome Wolf Hook; Chrome Odal Rune; BNP; Blood & Honour shield; Skrewdriver - England; Odal Rune & Sword shield; Infidels shield; Templar Knight shield; Red, White & Blue Sunwheel; Red, White & Silver Sunwheel; Crossed St. George & Union Jack flags; Crossed St. George & Confederate flags; Crossed St. George and White Dragon flags, Scottish Defence League: Refugees Not welcome: Black Sun; Thor's Hammer: £3.00 ($6.00) each or any six (mixed) for £15.00 ($30.00) (post-paid).

Patriotic Flags now available

We now have the following good quality 3x5 flags for sale at £10.00/$20.00 each: Odinic Raven; Old South African; Rhodesian; Celtic Cross (b/w); Celtic Cross (r/w/b); English White Dragon; England ST George; Northern Ireland; Welsh Red Dragon; Scotland ST Andrew; Ireland ST Patrick; Welsh Red Dragon; Isle of Mann; SAS Union Jack; Poppy Union Jack; RAF Ensign; King William of Orange; Royal Standard; Orange Order; AWB 777; German Youth 33rd Troop; White Rose Yorkshire; Confederate (3rd National); and Confederate Battle. For overseas orders please add another £5.00 ($10.00) for postage.

Donations

Income from sales and subscriptions of our magazine are not enough to cover the high cost of production, mailing and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so we can keep going. Every Pound, Dollar or even Euro counts, so please try and send in whatever you can afford.

Payment Details

Cheques or Money orders should be made payable to Heritage and Destiny and sent to; 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE, UK. Please do not send cash, unless by recorded delivery. Or pay by Paypal to – englandfirstparty@yahoo.com

Hendrik Frensch Verwoerd: South Africa's Greatest Prime Minister, Part IX

Editor's note: Part VIII of this article appeared in H&D *Issue 82. This concludes Mr Goodson's article on the life and death of H.F. Verwoerd.*

Cui bono? The principal beneficiaries of Dr. Verwoerd's assassination were the International Money Power, and in particular the Rothschild bankers, although they would have to wait 28 years before they could collect their prize.

Locally, Anton Rupert had *carte blanche* with which to expand his business empire as he desired, with far less hindrance from government interference. At Dr. Verwoerd's funeral held on 10 September 1966, Rupert was overheard saying: "*Hoe lank sou ons hom nog kon verduur?*" (How much longer could we endure him?). Later that month that negative disposition was repeated when he stated in Maseru, Lesotho that:

"I do not wish to be a hypocrite: the fact that Dr. Verwoerd was no longer Prime Minister was the best thing that could have happened to South Africa. Dr. Verwoerd was too stubborn and would not allow anyone to teach him how to rule a country. I had approached Dr. Verwoerd on numerous occasions to make apartheid more flexible, but Dr. Verwoerd did not take any notice".[383]

For Harry Oppenheimer, Dr. Verwoerd's demise brought about a great sense of relief. The recommendations of the Hoek Report would no longer be implemented and Anglo American could continue paying minimal tax and exploiting their black mineworkers. With his puppet, B.J. Vorster, in the premiership, he was strategically well placed to oversee the dismantling of apartheid and South Africa's incorporation into the plutocrats' New World Order.

Mr. Oppenheimer[384] would live to see the realisation of his aim to have Nelson Mandela inaugurated as the first black president of South Africa. Their friendship dated back to the early 1950s when he advised Mandela to spruce up his image by visiting his merchant tailor, Alfred Kahn in Commercial Street, Johannesburg. In 1994 it was reported that Harry Oppenheimer "likes Mr. Mandela very, very much",[385] while in *USA Today* of 20 June 1994, Oppenheimer boasted that he had been the "quiet engine that had powered the ANC".

Tycoon Harry Oppenheimer head of Anglo American, was implicated in Verwoerd's assassination. Twenty years later he is seen (*above left*) in cheerful conversation with Cyril Ramaphosa, then a radical trade union leader, who became President of South Africa in February 2018.

Verwoerd's successor B.J. Vorster (*above centre*) at a cabinet meeting with ministers P.W. Botha (*left*), who eventually followed Vorster as Prime Minister, and M.C. Botha (*right*).

It had taken 92 years to complete the journey back to Vereeniging[386] where on 31 May 1902 the Boers had been forced to give up their freedom at the end of the second Anglo-Boer War.

CONCLUSION

At the time of his death, Dr. Verwoerd had reached the apogee of his powers, so too had South Africa reached a peak of prosperity which is unlikely to be achieved again.

On numerous occasions Dr. Verwoerd predicted the fate that awaited South Africa if civilized rule were to be abandoned and replaced by a lawless and incompetent Black government under the suzerainty of the International Money Power.

On 9 March 1960 Dr. Verwoerd gave the following prescient warning in parliament to all the White nations of the world.

"May the White man, may the White nations of the world, also Britain, not lose their intellectual hold or in any other way. If they abdicate on our behalf and surrender, then in the long run the flood of coloured people will not only overwhelm us, but in the years to come will reach their lands, and eventually overwhelm them too".[387]

On 12 December 1961 in a speech given to the Union Council of Coloured Affairs Dr. Verwoerd spoke as follows:

"What happens if the Whites lose their hold on a unitary state? Who runs the country? Not the Coloureds, but the Bantu. I do not wish to insult the Bantu as a group, because I must also seek justice for them. The fact is that the experience in Africa proves that if the Bantu obtains authority over a country, it will lead necessarily to a dictatorship of a small group of politically interested parties amongst them. The Bantu masses will be subject to them and will perhaps suffer far more than the suffering that they are alleged to experience under a white government.

"As far as the other groups are concerned they will without a doubt be pushed backwards, perhaps quickly, perhaps step by step. The Coloureds should not think that they will be the last to be excluded from the rule of, participation in and benefits of such a mixed society. They can easily be the first. Which group will be respected the least by a Bantu dictatorship and be the least needed? Will it not be the Coloureds? The Whites' prestige and help might still be retained because of the usefulness of his knowledge".[388]

In a speech celebrating the fifth anniversary of the Republic on 31 May 1966, Dr. Verwoerd said that:

"As far as the Bantu are concerned will it be to their advantage if they become the dominant group, but in that process lose their separate national identities, and probably their languages and customs and suffer as a result of their incapacity – which we know is the case – to lead, manage and develop the phase of Western civilisation which this country has reached in respect of industrialisation and other things? Would it be right in respect of their own masses? The few who obtain power might be satisfied, but for all we know they may be prodigals. For a large extent the masses would become unemployed and experience difficulty as we have seen in the rest of Africa. Would it be morally right to create the appearance of freedom, but in reality to cause living conditions similar to slavery".[389]

We have already observed an example of Dr. Verwoerd's forecasting skills, when he predicted that under Black majority rule, Rhodesia would disintegrate into chaos. In the above cited predictions, made over 50 years ago, we are able to bear witness to those events unravelling before our eyes – the unrelenting flood of millions of economic migrants into Europe, the blatant discrimination practised against Coloured people in their own homeland, the Western Cape, and the unabated suffering which the great majority of Black people now endure under Black rule. In the last mentioned case we see that Black unemployment has risen from 5% to officially 26%. According to trade union Cosatu it is 36%, but if one includes those who are no longer seeking work and those who are only partially employed, in other words subsisting, the figure rises to 50%. As a result of all this unemployment, 50% of the Black population lives below the poverty datum line with seven out of ten Black children living in poverty.[390] There are now 17.5 million recipients[391] of social grants out of an estimated population of 54 million. The rand which was worth $1.40 during the 1960s is now worth less than 6 US cents. During Dr. Verwoerd's premiership South Africa was a peaceful country with an almost total absence of serious crime. The annual murder rate was 60, whereas according to the United Nations Statistical Year Book since 1994 there has been an average of 20,000 murders per annum. An important litmus test is the Gini coefficient which statistically measures the distribution of income, and is used by both the United Nations and the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. A figure of 1 indicates that the entire income is held by one person, whereas 0 would mean that the inhabitants of a country would each have the same amount of income. In 1975 the co-efficient for Blacks was at the world average of .47.[392] To-day South Africa has the worst co-efficient in the world at .70.[393] This dismal statistic is confirmed by the United Nations Human Development Index, which is a broader measure of welfare, and measures factors such as quality of life, life expectancy and progress in education. In 1995 South Africa occupied 59th position in the world at 0.741, whereas in 2014 it had dropped 47 places to 116th at 0.666.

What does the long term future hold? The Whites, who are the bearers of modern Western civilisation and the cement which has held South Africa together since its inception, are declining in numbers both absolutely and relatively. With a white female fertility rate of less than 1.5,[394] a recent prediction[395] that by 2030 the White population will constitute less than 2% of the total population is not inconceivable. If this current demographic trend is maintained, there will be hardly any White people living in South Africa by the year 2100, which will inevitably have disastrous consequences for the remaining population, as has already been observed in Zimbabwe.

What are the implications for the other races? With the future absence of the White farmers, who are currently being threatened with expropriation of 50% of their land without compensation,[396] and who feed the nation by providing 95% of all foodstuffs,[397] and the minerals of South Africa having been largely depleted, thus severely limiting the ability to import food – starvation will intensify and cause the other races to experience sharp declines in their numbers too. Such a dramatic fall in population would presumably be in line with the objective of the New World Order planners to reduce the world's population from its current 7.4 billion to 500 million.[398]

Manufacturing, which is the foundation of any nation's prosperity, has declined from a peak share of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of 24% in 1981 to 12 % of GDP in 2016. This alarming decline in manufacturing illustrates the deindustrialisation of the economy which has been taking place, and which has been exacerbated in no small measure by the 35% decline in labour productivity since Dr. Verwoerd's era.[399] It also inhibits any possibility of reducing unemployment. The permanent inability of the great majority of the population, which has an average IQ of 70, to maintain, let alone advance a civilisation, built by those with an average IQ of 100, and who are rapidly vanishing, will result in the near total collapse of the infrastructure and the economy by 2050 at the latest.

Not only have Dr. Verwoerd's predictions been proven to be correct, so too have his policies which, had they been pursued diligently, would have created a paradise for all the inhabitants of this corner of the world. The fact that Dr. Verwoerd was targeted for elimination by the International Money Power, provides further confirmatory evidence that he was working in the interests of the common man. Those liberals suffering from cecity, who still persist in accusing Dr Verwoerd of "oppression" of the Black people, find themselves driven to the extremities of the lunatic fringe.[400]

Pretoria's central hospital was renamed after Dr Verwoerd in 1967, a year after his assassination. In 1994 Dr Verwoerd's bust was removed and the institution was renamed the Steve Biko Hospital, after the revolutionary 'black consciousness' activist.

George Herold Calpin, a former editor of the *Natal Witness* and author of *At last we've got our country back*, characterised Dr. Verwoerd as follows:

"His personality alone was a recommendation: no one could listen to him without admiring his astonishing brilliance and his understanding of a subject. He had the intelligence of a computer: if he ever took a note onto the stage it was nothing more than a reminder by his wife to cut his hair. He was the sort of man who would attract dislike from some on account of the mathematical brilliance of his intellect: he did not have a high capacity to attract people to him through friendliness, sympathy and human understanding. Personally he was a charming man, in many respects wonderfully naïve, without pretence and not at all sophisticated".[401]

Dr. Verwoerd was a philanthropist,[402] who was accorded the highest eulogium by the Black people by being designated Rapula,[403] the rainmaker and bearer of the good things in life. He was also called *Sebeloke*,[404] the protector of the people. Dr. Verwoerd is rivalled only by U.S. President John F. Kennedy, who was also murdered[405] by the international bankers for trying to reform the Rothschild -owned and -controlled US Federal Reserve Bank,[406] as a worthy candidate for the accolade of having been the greatest statesman[407] of the world since World War II.

Stephen Mitford Goodson, Pringle Bay, South Africa

Notes

[383] J.A. Marais, *The Founders of the New South Africa*, pamphlet, Pretoria, 15 July 1994, 159-160.

[384] One of the author's clients in the early 1980s, while he was working for a firm of stockbrokers in Johannesburg, was Harry Oppenheimer's former private secretary, Gerald Isemonger. He related the following anecdote. Once when he and Oppenheimer were travelling by train in Switzerland, a young man enquired as to what Harry Oppenheimer did for a living. He replied: "I work in the accounts department of Anglo American and De Beers".

[385] J.A. Marais, *op. cit.*, 27.

[386] While he sat in the House of Assembly, F.W. de Klerk, who is considered by many to have been a traitor, held the National Party seat of Vereeniging.

[387] A.N. Pelzer (ed.), *Verwoerd aan die Woord*, Afrikaanse Pers-Boekhandel, Pretoria, 1963, 346.
[388] *Ibid.*, 602-603.
[389] *Ibid.*, 677.
[390] http://www.statssa.gov.za/publications/Report-03-10-06/Report-03-10-06March2014.pdf
[391] https://nolstuijt.wordpress.com/category/sa-taxpayers-35-heavy-burden-17.5million-welfare-recipients-south-africa-economic-freedom-in-our-lifetime-campaign//
[392] Whiteford A.C. & Van Seventer D.E., 'South Africa's Changing Income Distribution in the 1990s', *Journal of Studies in Economics and Econometrics*, Vol. 24(3), 2000.
[393] http://repository.up.ac.za/bitstream/handle/2263/40181/Harmse_South_2013.pdf?sequence=1
[394] The 2011 census recorded a White female fertility rate of 1.6.
[395] *The Year Ahead With Clem Sunter*, 'South Africa's Youth Time Bomb', 12 February 2015. http://www.gunsite.co.za/forums/showthread.php?60660-SA-s-ticking-time-bomb
[396] http://www.farmersweekly.co.za/article.aspx?id=62176&h=Without-compromise,-SA-agriculture-has-no-future
[397] http://www.censorbugbear.org/farmitracker/reports/view/3514 and http://www.iol.co.za/business/news/farm-report-warns-of-serious-problems-1.1845285
[398] http://endoftheamericandream.com/archives/from-7-billion-people-to-500-million-people-the-sick-population-control-agenda-of-the-global-elite
[399] www.adcorp.co.za news pages. In calculating this number, Adcorp standardised 'the output-per-worker measure by the amount of capital used in the production process, which yields output per worker, per capital.
[400] There are three kinds of lunacy. : (i) lunacy at birth, (ii) lunacy acquired as a result of infirmity or old age and (iii) induced lunacy. The last named category is the most prevalent and is acquired as a result of brainwashing. A good example is the Germans who have been indoctrinated into believing that they were exclusively responsible for starting both world wars.
[401] J.A. Marais, *op.cit.*, 222.
[402] It may be noted that at the one-sided Truth and Reconciliation Commission held in Cape Town from 1996-1998, not a single human rights abuse during Dr. Verwoerd's premiership was investigated, presumably because there were none. There was no enquiry about his assassination, notwithstanding the fact that both Tsafendas and Dr. Solly Jacobson were still alive, and that the latter was under police suspicion of having been involved in criminal activity.
[403] F. Barnard, *13 Jaar In Die Skadu Van Dr H.F. Verwoerd*, Voortrekkerpers, Johannesburg, 46.
[404] J.J.J. Scholtz, *Die Moord Op Dr Verwoerd*, Nasionale Boekhandel Bpk, Kaapstad, 80.
[405] http://www.irishcentral.com/news/jackie-kennedy-blamed-lyndon-b-johnson-for-jfk-murder-127220093-237788131.html
[406] Kennedy was also targeted because he refused to provide Israel with the components to make nuclear weapons and wished to terminate the Vietnam war. His assassins, firing from a grassy knoll as the motorcade went by in Elm Street, Dallas, were in all probability agents of the CIA/MOSSAD. Kennedy's wife, Jackie, conscious of the potential danger to both herself and her son, fled to the sanctuary of a marriage with powerful Greek shipping magnate, Aristotle Onassis. See http://themillenniumreport.com/2016/03/jfk-jr-told-the-world-who-murdered-his-father-but-nobody-was-paying-attention-3/ which provides circumstantial evidence that CIA operative and later president of the United States, George HW Bush, was responsible for co-ordinating the murder. Kennedy's son, John F. Kennedy Jr., was aware of Bush's complicity and planned to expose him in his ironically named monthly magazine, *George*, but died in a mysterious airplane "crash" off the coast of Martha's Vineyard, Massachusetts on 16 July, 1999.
[407] S.E.D. Brown, 'Verwoerd's Border Visit A Personal Triumph', *The South African Observer*, March 1961, 10. On the occasion of King William's Town celebrating the centenary of its foundation on 8 February 1861, Dr. Verwoerd "was given a warm reception by 4,000 Europeans and was also cheered and applauded by hundreds of non-Europeans". The Mayor, Mr. D.G. Galloway, described Dr. Verwoerd as "one of the greatest men in the world".

Why the Confederacy was crushed

Turn on any news programme from the States or trawl YouTube and you'll find the highly vocal Post-Modernists screaming – and I do mean screaming – to tear down another Confederate Battle Flag or topple hundred year old statues of the leaders of the Southern struggle for independence. Robert E Lee, Stonewall Jackson, Jefferson Davis, Nathan Bedford Forrest and many other brave White men are being reviled in a tide of naked hatred emanating from groups such as 'Black Lives Matter' through the Liberal unthinking (but not silent!) mobs and on into the Far Left and the black hooded thugs of Antifa.

They claim untruthfully that the Confederate flag and everything that goes with the long White heritage of the Southern States all stand as totems to racial Hatred, White supremacy and the evils of Black slavery. Today these symbols are all the South has to remember those near six years of bloody struggle to become a Free Nation not ruled by the Bankers, Industrialists and downright haters of all the South represented.

The lunatic John Brown with his attack on Harper's Ferry just before the war and his subsequent elevation from murderer to heroic martyr (much like the cult religions of Luther King, Communist and agitator or Mandelaism – turning a Black Communist baby murderer into a living Saint), set the tone that the Unionists were somehow fighting for freedom by invading their own country!

To set matters on a more even keel the following gives a truer picture:–
Abraham Lincoln repeatedly stated his war was caused by taxes only, and not by slavery, at all.
"My policy sought only to collect the Revenue (a 40 percent federal sales tax on imports to Southern States under the Morrill Tariff Act of 1861)," reads paragraph 5 of Lincoln's First Message to the U.S. Congress, penned July 4, 1861.



A bust of Jefferson Davis in the Old Hall of the Virginia House of Delegates: many such monuments are the focus of noisy far left campaigns for their removal.

And again:
"I have no purpose, directly or indirectly, to interfere with the institution of slavery in the States where it exists. I believe I have no inclination to do so," Lincoln said at his first inaugural on March 4 of the same year.

There is no proof of Lincoln ever declaring the war was fought to abolish slavery, and without such an official statement, the war-over-slavery teaching remains a complete lie and offensive hate speech that divides Americans, as is being done now by the media and politicians regarding the Confederate flag being displayed in the Southern states to whom it represents a struggle for freedom against Northern tyranny.

Slavery was not abolished; just the name was changed to share-cropper with over 5 million Southern whites and 3 million Southern blacks working on land stolen by Wall Street bankers.

White, Black, Indian, Hispanic, Protestant, Catholic and even Jewish Confederates valiantly stood as one in scores of battles on land and sea. Afterwards, they attended Confederate Veterans' reunions together and received pensions from Southern States.

Lincoln did not claim slavery was a reason even in his Emancipation Proclamations on Sept. 22, 1862, and Jan. 1, 1863. Moreover, Lincoln's proclamations exempted a million slaves under his control from being freed (including Union General U.S. Grant's four slaves) and offered the South three months to return to the Union (pay 40 percent sales tax) and keep their slaves. None did. Lincoln affirmed his only reason for issuing was: "as a fit and necessary war measure for suppressing said (tax) rebellion."

Demonstrators at the University of Tennessee in August 2017 calling for the removal of a statue of Nathan Bedford Forrest, perhaps the greatest cavalry general of all time. Authorities in Memphis duly surrendered to the left and removed this monument (together with a statue of Jefferson Davis) in December 2017.

Mrs. Grant wrote in her personal memoirs: "We rented our pretty little home (in St. Louis) and hired out our four servants to persons whom we knew and who promised to be kind to them. Eliza, Dan, Julia and John belonged to me. When I visited the General during the War, I nearly always had Julia with me as nurse."

Lincoln declared war to collect taxes in his two presidential war proclamations against the Confederate States, on April 15 and 19th, 1861: "Whereas an insurrection against the Government of the United States has broken out and the laws of the United States for the collection of the revenue cannot be effectually executed therein."

On Dec. 25, 1860, South Carolina declared unfair taxes to be a cause of secession: "The people of the Southern States are not only taxed for the benefit of the Northern States, but after the taxes are collected, three-fourths (75%) of them are expended at the North (to subsidize Wall Street industries that elected Lincoln")

It was on April 8, 1861, that Lincoln, alone, started the war by a surprise attack on Charleston Harbor with a fleet of warships, led by the USS Harriet Lane, to occupy Fort Sumter, a Federal tax collection fort in the territorial waters of South Carolina and then invaded Virginia.

On April 29, 1861, President Jefferson Davis described the South's response of self-defense in his Message To the Confederate States Congress: "I directed a proposal to be made to the commander of Fort Sumter that we would abstain from directing our fire on Fort Sumter if he would promise not to open fire on our forces unless first attacked. This proposal was refused."

The only reason the South ever gave for fighting was in self-defense of the voluntary Union of independent States, as symbolized then by the U.S. Flag. Secession (withdrawal from a voluntary union) and war are two very different events.

Slavery would have withered away in time and only about 5% of Southerners actually owned slaves. This is NOT a defence of slavery but a hard economic fact. A Nation which relies on slaves as the basis of its economy is doomed to failure.

Hundreds of thousands of people in the South were unemployed because they wanted a wage and a stake in their Confederate homeland but Blacks were taking up all the jobs.

It's more than an hypothesis to state that if the rich plantation owners had not given up the institution of slavery, the majority White population would over a period of time forced Abolition on the Confederacy. History has shown this repeatedly. Rome fell because its soldier-farmers were replaced by mercenaries (often freed slaves) and it is estimated that over 80% of Roman citizens within Rome never worked, as slaves did all the tasks from the menial to as high as advisors to the Emperor.

Slavery turned a hard working Roman citizenry into a feckless mob who had to be kept quiet by free 'doles' of bread and of course the bloody slaughter in the Colosseum which often went on for months but kept the Citizenry turned riotous mob in contentment.

That scenario would not have happened in the South. Most Southern Whites were the direct descendants of English and Scots-Irish. The Southern fighting man surprised and beat vastly superior forces again and again.

It is my belief that this was grounded in the good blood stock of the Irish, Ulster and English families that were amongst the first settlers in the South. This is especially true of Virginia with places such as Jamestown being among the very earliest established towns.

The Unionists fought the war viciously in campaigns such as Sherman's infamous "March to the Sea". Sherman's targets were the families, farms and properties of the Confederate soldiers fighting in the Mid-West and in Virginia. Sherman laid waste thousands of square miles of Southern property and farmland leaving behind a bewildered population without even seed corn for the next season's crop. He burned down the beautiful city of Atlanta (starkly portrayed in the book and film *Gone With the Wind*), for no other reason than to strike terror into the hearts of the Southern civilians.

Contrast this with Lee's invasion of Pennsylvania which tragically ended at Gettysburg. Lee and his commanders such as Longstreet PAID for any food or goods taken from the Unionist population of that State. The same was true in Maryland.

So why do the collective Marxist rabble bay rabidly at any manifestations of a Free Nation defeated? Because the war WAS a war for a more civilised way of life based on principles which all White Nationalists share - the defence of their homeland against Big Banking and Big Money - the very same tyranny that now rules over ALL the States of the USA.

I have a large Battle Flag in my home which hangs proudly from floor to ceiling. So far no left-wing rabble have turned up to protest it.....so far.

Edmond Morrison, Leeds, Yorkshire

Hive Minds

Simon Sheppard explores the collective mindset

This is a super subject and many discoveries could follow this brief exploration. The theme to be discussed is the actions of individuals which further the interests of their population. That is, when an individual acts not so much for himself, but in the interests of other members of his group (whatever group that might be). Probably individuals of all human populations do this, though evidently it varies in degree. Some minds are more hive than others. First, some background.

Western peoples, being civilised for a while, have become soft and feminine. The female encourages the female in everything: female influence reinforces itself. For example, females seek to foster a pleasant, cosy atmosphere, free from violence, because once force comes into play they are at a disadvantage. This advances, and with elevated female sensitivity come increasing demands for safety. Eventually there are continual warnings about everything, which is where we are today.

Bees forming a bridge to connect two swarms

Many female strategies benefit not only the individual female enacting the strategy, but also help other females. Benefits occurring at multiple levels I have called Compound Benefit (CB). It is ubiquitous simply because it is so advantageous. A simple kind of CB is when a population makes a profit out of damaging another population it wishes to harm. Pornography and the Taliban exporting heroin to Western countries spring to mind. With CB the population wins two ways: financially and by harming their opponent.

A more subtle, and more interesting, instance of CB is IPoE, Inverted Proclamation of Enhancement. Plain, non-inverted Proclamation of Enhancement takes place when a female tells all her female friends that she has been asked out. She advertises her increased status (that she is desired by a male) and it also raises the cost of sex, because it diminishes the male's chances of a relationship with other females in her circle. PoE is inverted when the female advertises her enhancement in the form of a complaint. Then she has raised her status and raised the costs of sex even more.

One aspect of a feminine environment is that we tend to project our natures onto others: we assume that other populations think and feel the same as us. This is a serious error.



Black Americans celebrating the murder acquittal of OJ Simpson in October 1995 after an eleven-month trial.

The starting point in this exploration of the hive mind is Amsterdam some years ago. There, during election times, stickers appeared on street furniture exhorting people to vote a particular way. The stickers didn't advocate a political party however: two I remember were "Kies kleurig" (Choose coloured) and "Stem op een vrouw" (Vote for a woman).

Then there was the OJ Simpson trial in 1995. When the trial ended, the verdict was evidently regarded by millions of American Blacks as a victory over "Whitey". There are pictures of groups watching the announcement on TV with Blacks jubilantly celebrating, while Whites looked stunned or blank. These are telling cases but there are known to be disparities in the blood and organ donor rates of various populations. Incidentally, the hardest patients to find matching donors for are mixed-race individuals. Even close family members may not match.

Many populations are inherently more nationalistic than Whites. The antithesis of the hive mind is intense individualism, this perhaps reaching its zenith in Whites in modern North American culture. However, the media-approved manner of expressing that individuality is by consumer choice (Coca-Cola or Pepsi), or personal style (casual or punk). Any notion of Whites acting for collective White interests is strongly discouraged. Police stick together, politicians stick together and freemasons are famous for it, but anyone promoting explicit White interests is demonised and officially hounded.

The hive mind is an expression of the Conspiracy strategy, the policy of acting in concert or acting together. It is a female strategy: in this dichotomous system females conspire and males compete.

One recognised kind of individual action for collective benefit is "altruistic punishment." In this, individuals take it upon themselves to punish, even though it is costly for them (e.g. in time and effort) and yields little or no material gain. When an individual sues someone or some organisation not for the money, but to discourage their behaviour being repeated to others in the future, this is a form of altruistic punishment.

Suppose altruistic punishment were extended, because actually it is. A member of an immigrant population might invent or exaggerate accusations against a nationalist, having identified him as an opponent of immigration in general. By attacking an opponent his actions benefit his population, plus members of other populations he perceives as allies. At least two people known to me personally have been imprisoned on perjured evidence, and I might be about to join them myself.

A population which has evolved in an environment of high mortality possessing a hive mind would be more inclined to "take one for the team." A Black walking down the middle of an American road and provoking a cop to shoot him might be doing so in the interests of Blacks in general, knowing that the media will portray the incident as "racist police brutality against an unarmed teen." Others support this narrative, applying the same hive mind, which explains why the circumstances of the incident are so often distorted. The cop might have been non-White; the "teen" could have been a bodybuilder high on some exotic drug. Anything which deviates from the hive line is downplayed or ignored altogether.

Really, this tribalism is not so much conscious as instinctive. Jews have reported feeling personally affected on hearing news of an anti-Jewish action abroad. It's as if they feel an injury to their collective body. Jews mutually promote, citing each other in academic journals

The death of Leon Klinghoffer during the Palestinian hijack of the cruise ship *Achille Lauro* has been dramatised on stage, screen and opera house, but two former Mossad operatives have described the hijacking as a 'false flag' by Israeli intelligence: an extreme example of hive mindset – organised Jewry sacrificing even other Jews for collective benefit.

and the media generally. There is definitely a correlation between the number of times a name is uttered on the radio, particularly, and whether the individual is Jewish. It seems that a Jewish name will be mentioned very frequently.

The Jewish bent for networking is famous: it's been said that if you put two Jews together you get three organisations. Goodness knows how many "Hasbara trolls" there are, pretending to be ordinary individuals on internet comment forums. In Britain there is the Community Security Trust keeping files on anyone they deem "anti-Semitic." According to reports, the recently formed "Campaign Against Antisemitism" is solely responsible for the current prosecutions of Jez Turner and Alison Chabloz. These organisations are undoubtedly seeking to further the interests of their population as a whole.

In perhaps a characteristic twist, it seems that Jews sometimes sacrifice not themselves, but nominate other Jews for sacrifice instead. It is arranged that others take one for the team. A case in illustration is the 1985 hijacking of the *MS Achille Lauro*, when Jewish cruise passengers were terrorised by members of the Palestinian Liberation Front. The event has since been immortalised in books, films and an opera. However Victor Ostrovsky revealed that the incident was actually a 'false flag' operation run by the Mossad, designed to paint Palestinians in a bad light. This has been confirmed by Ari Ben-Menashe, another former Mossad agent. It was in Israel's interests to portray Palestinians as terrorists.

Of course, the ultimate in altruistic punishment is enacted by the suicide bomber, the usual contemporary form being the Moslem fundamentalist. Here, the punisher pays the ultimate cost to inflict his punishment. However, it is a personal theory of mine that most of these actions are not so much terrorist attacks as displays of devotion. Were punishment of the infidel population the primary goal, most of these atrocities could have been made much more devastating and deadly. Either this is the result of incompetence, which seems unlikely since they are usually planned in advance, or the main motivation is another. The real object may be to dramatically demonstrate their devotion to their co-religionists. But this is just a theory.

Many species have a "dispersal instinct": the instinct to disperse to new territory. Darwin's last paper discussed this, and how certain creatures attach themselves to the feet of birds to spread themselves from puddle to puddle. Whites express this instinct as exploration. In Amsterdam I saw repeated instances of a single roving Black seeking out all-White bars, where he could play up and perhaps have drinks bought for him. Blacks may instinctively seek out White areas, and Negro males certainly seek White mates. A single, isolated immigrant with a hive mind must be regarded not as an individual but as an advance party.

The suspicion is that some of this Negro pursuit of White females involves Spoiling. Spoiling is removing a component, thereby to devalue it. The model for Spoiling is a trick used at public auctions, to obtain the item more cheaply, but it is often done out of spite: "I can't have it so I'll ensure no-one else can either." Jung said that "Just as every Jew has a Christ complex, so every Negro has a white complex." Spoiling can take place when a female fails to secure a male she wants, and she interferes in some way in another female's potential relationship with him. Out of bitterness and jealousy she prevents another getting what she wants.

Another expression of a hive mind would be the suppression or killing of exceptional individuals. For instance, an exceptionally clever or eccentric individual might be accused of witchcraft or paedophilia. Removing him from the society would be a way of enforcing uniformity and conformity. Several Scandinavian countries have a "Little Johnnie's Law" whereby pride in exceptional individuals is discouraged: the dustman is supposed to be of equal value to the surgeon.

Before closing, there are a couple of other aspects which can be considered. One is whether altruistic punishment is in play when White "anti-racist" activists engage in counter-demonstrations and other forms of public shaming. Probably in their minds the investment they make in such activity is a contribution to the collective good. It is the standard *modus operandi* of one population in particular to present its agenda as moral imperatives for Whites to follow. It is defiance of these moral imperatives that is being punished. Altruism also takes place more conventionally, when individuals perform philanthropic acts (usually advertised or carefully directed) to increase the standing of their population in general.

Lastly we address the matter of non-White personnel in advertising and Western media generally. Britons may dismiss the black newsreader or mixed-race couple advertising furniture, but hive minds will not. The scenes will be regarded as symbolic, if not a deliberate snub to the native population. The blogger 'Irish Savant' highlighted an advertising campaign for Tullamore Dew whisky which featured what purports to be a typical Irish bar scene. However the patrons were patently not Irish, and the Irish bartender was romantically involved with a Negress. The campaign was traced to a Jewish advertising agency in New York. One might suspect that CB is again involved.

No doubt there are many other expressions of hive behaviour, but we cannot read minds. Nor can we expect mainstream psychologists to follow through on a topic such as this, they being wholly concerned with procuring grants and adhering to political correctness. In any case, much of contemporary psychology seems to rely on self-reports, often American students filling in questionnaires for course credits. 'Ignore what people say they do and look at what they actually do' is an excellent rule to follow.

Simon Sheppard, Yorkshire, England

Editor's note: On 22nd February, Simon Sheppard appeared at York Crown Court to respond to the allegation that he made an offensive racialist remark in the Selby Council Housing Office, last June, 2017. Simon admits to walking into his local council housing office and saying the following to a council staff member: "There's a problem in my area of fly-tipping, and the worst of it is, the worst of it is being done by the Council, dumping Africans on us."
When the case finally came to court, on the 22nd of February 2018, the Complainant failed to turn up for the hearing. The barrister for the Defendant then requested that the case be thrown out, as there is no longer a Complainant, or any witnesses.In any normal case, this would never have reached the Crown Court, as there is no credible evidence of any crime and no Complainant is willing to testify. But, in this age of tyranny, the non-crime of 'racism' is seen as being far more important than truth, justice and even murder. The case was adjourned until 9:30 on the 24th of June 2018, to be heard at York Crown Court. Friends and supporters are welcome to attend.

Towards a Momentum of the Right?

The electoral decline first of British nationalism after the general election of 2010 and then of UKIP after the referendum of 2016 and the reversion (some would say, regression) to a duopoly of the two major parties following UKIP's effective collapse is remarkable. Between them, Tories and Labour polled just over 82% across the United Kingdom at the 2017 general election, the highest share for the old duopolists since 1970.

Though the Tories were unable to secure an overall majority, they won their highest share of the vote since 1983, while Labour won its highest share of the vote since 2001.

Mark you, those shares of the vote (42.3% for the Tories, 40% for Labour) are their percentages across the whole kingdom.

In Scotland the SNP, though well off its 2015 highs, when it won 56 of the 59 Scottish constituencies, remains by far the largest single party, while Plaid Cymru has a substantial following in Wales, and all Ulster seats are now held by one of two parties that do not contest elections in Great Britain, the Democratic Unionists and Sinn Fein.

Looking at England alone, the Tories polled 45.4% of the vote, while Labour polled 41.9%, in other words 87.3% of the English electorate voted for one of the big two, and a further 7.8% voted for the faux alternative of the LibDems, with the result that more than 95% of the English electorate voted for one of the three traditional system parties.

Jacob Rees-Mogg (*centre*) at a dinner of the Traditional Britain Group with TBG chairman Gregory Lauder-Frost (*right*) and Calum Heaton (*left*), who was then a TBG Committee member and Sheffield University undergraduate.

UKIP, perceived by many as a proxy for a populist/nationalist party, saw its share of the vote fall by 10.8% to a paltry 1.8%, losing more than 3,270,000 votes between 2015 and 2017. It only polled double figure percentages in two seats, Thurrock and Hartlepool. Since then its fortunes continue to decline. If, as I think likely, Mrs May delivers Brexit in some shape or form, UKIP's *raison d'être* (obsessive Europhobes, amongst whom I am not numbered, are asked to excuse my French!) will disappear and so, it seems, will the party, at least on present trends.

All these interesting statistics are derived from the excellent and informative House of Commons Library Briefing Paper CBP 7979 8th September 2017, which can be downloaded free of charge from **www.researchbriefings.parliament.uk**. It repays careful study.

Bruno Gollnisch, seen (*above right*) with Front National leader Marine Le Pen, was a TBG speaker last year: on present trends the organisation is the best hope for our movement in the UK to reach the FN's level of respectability.

What they reveal is not exactly encouraging from the point of view of those who hope for the emergence of a real electoral alternative to the system parties. In the circumstances, it is not surprising that some who ten years ago might have looked to a radical nationalist party as their preferred vehicle for political activism are now re-assessing whether engagement in pressure groups on the fringe of the major parties might not be a better use of their time and money. I neither endorse nor oppose that approach. I merely say that we need to consider it.

We should all the more readily do so, as neither the Conservative Party nor the Labour Party imposes a blanket ban on former members of nationalist groups comparable to UKIP's, with the curious outcome that a well-known high profile former BNP activist in Blackburn and sometime friend of *H&D*'s editor now sits as a Labour councillor in Blackburn, whereas no-one with such a past could hope to be selected as a candidate by UKIP or (at least in theory) even be admitted to its rapidly shrinking membership, in the unlikely event that anyone still wishes to embark upon that sinking ship.

While there have been odd single instances of the kind that I mention in the Labour Party, realistically, most young British men and women with rightist and patriotic views will more naturally see their home in the Conservative Party.

One group on the fringe of the Tory party that is trending strongly is the Traditional Britain Group ("TBG"). Pursuing the comparison drawn earlier between now and a decade ago, then both UKIP and the BNP were serious minor parties capable of winning big votes, though in rather different kinds of election, whereas TBG was a rather fusty and musty dining club of no obvious relevance, viewed askance in so far as it was noticed at all by "call me Dave" Cameron, the Tories' PC would be "right on" (but never right wing) leader.

Surprisingly, TBG then adapted to the social media age far more successfully than much larger, better known groups, and has a very popular Facebook page and a lively Twitter feed that has not been censored by Twitter, well, not yet! Its online reach is enormous, giving it significant if difficult to measure influence.

As a result, not only has the membership greatly increased, but the average age of members and supporters has fallen, a very satisfactory double whammy, showing the importance of a carefully crafted presence on social media.

Moreover, TBG has excellent comradely links with various European nationalist parties, most particularly the Front National, one of whose MEPs, Bruno Gollnisch, spoke to the TBG in London on 27th June 2017 and the FPÖ, whose Dr. Johannes Hübner, had previously spoken at its annual dinner on 20th May 2017, taking a very radical line on (((certain subjects))).

Indeed, Dr. Hübner's views are so radical that he has since stepped down from the parliamentary list of the FPÖ, a noble gesture designed to make the party more *salonfähig*, a regrettable but perhaps necessary part of the process of winning a share in power, as the FPÖ has now done.

On 21st October 2017, the TBG held its annual conference, again in central London, which sold out some time before the date of the event, a sign of the times, mirroring the success of AmRen 2017, which was also overbooked. The audience was a mix of young, middle aged and older members and friends, but the large youthful contingent was especially noticeable and bodes well for the future, particularly given how impressive many of the young people are.

The day long event was certainly full. No fewer than six speakers addressed the packed room over morning and afternoon sessions, including Dr Frank Ellis, late of Leeds University, and formerly associated with *Right Now!* magazine, independent conservative thinker, Alexander Boot, Norwegian patriot Bjørn Christian Rødal, and a thoughtful and impressive conservative Anglican clergyman and writer, the Reverend Dr Peter Mullen, who had a lively exchange of views with Sted Steadman, a well-known neo-heathen!

Especially controversial speakers were Austrian Identitarian Markus Willinger and UKIP leadership runner-up Anne Marie Waters. Ms Waters' attendance drew an undercover reporter, whose rather facile *exposé* on ITV of Ms Waters saying in private what she has said a hundred times in public told us nothing that we did not already know.

Differing here from your deputy editor, I am not prepared simply to write Ms Waters off as an Islamophobic crank. She is clearly a sincere, brave, determined, indeed a driven woman, who might (as she rightly points out) have pursued a successful career outside politics if she did not feel compelled to fight for the desperate and abandoned victims of our multi-cultural society, especially white women and girls, treated as easy meat by loathsome ethno-religious rape gangs drawn overwhelmingly from men of Pakistani origin, who were long allowed by politically correct Police forces and social workers to operate with impunity not only in Rotherham, but up and down this England of ours (or as they see it, theirs).

It is certainly true that Ms Waters' ideological position is somewhat confused. Until recently, she focussed very strongly on the religious angle, though her frequent postings on Twitter seem more recently to emphasise the great replacement, more accurately described as a process of ethnic than religious colonisation and displacement. I cannot say where she will travel on her political journey. I would only say that she is worth watching. Her group For Britain might (and I say no more than "might") fill any electoral space left open by the decline and fall of her former party, UKIP.

Markus Willinger also gave a lively talk, showing slides of the many eye-catching, well thought out publicity grabbing stunts pulled off by Generation Identity, whose flair for making their case with style makes them effective advocates for our cause. I wish them well in establishing a serious presence on these shores. I only regret that the next day Mr Willinger wasted his time by going to see Nicholas John Gri£fin (a morbidly obese one eyed, twice bankrupt, universally detested charlatan and conman, to whom such a fine man as Mr Willinger ought not to give the time of day). I hope that he counted his fingers and checked his wallet when he left Fagin's den.

Ending the year in style, TBG held an excellent Christmas social on 16th December 2017, addressed by former Monday Club chairman Sam Swerling in a fine old London hostelry. This event was also overbooked, with many more people wishing to attend than could be accommodated, a good problem to have.

Needless to say, the networking opportunities at all TBG's events are great. Old friendships are rekindled, and new connections made. Readers of this magazine, especially those whose previous political engagement has been relatively low profile, might therefore wish to join TBG, especially as it has no formal party political links.

Those who do not wish to join or perhaps live too far from London (it is a rather London-centric organisation, less in terms of membership, than where the meetings are held) might wish to visit its web page **www.traditionalbritain.org** or subscribe to its Twitter feed **@TradBritGroup**

It may yet prove a first step towards a Momentum of the right. As usual, only time will tell!

Tony Paulsen, London, England

Editor's Note: TBG can also be contacted at Traditional Britain Group, BCM Box 9045, London, WC1N 3XX. Generation Identity has a website at ***www.generation-identity.org.uk*** *with the usual links to Facebook, Twitter etc.*



The First Freedom

A racist monthly tabloid

Covers the South like white on grits – emphasis White

To get the next twelve 24-page issues of its articulately unflinching truth by mail in C.S.A. or U.S. send $25. In Canada it's $53, all other countries $77. We don't do PayPal. TFF, P.O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576.

League Sentinel

League Sentinel. Journal of the League of St George. Published quarterly. UK subscription is just £6.00 for four issues. Contact: League Enterprises, First Floor, 5 Mile End Road, London, E1 4JP. Please make cheques/postal orders payable to League Enterprises.

www.leaguestgeorge.org

THE MISSING LINK

to understanding what's really going on in this country and the world is the true identity of the Children of Light and the Children of Darkness. Have you been a Christian-Patriot for many years and found that some things just "don't add up"? The real nature of the forces of good and evil have been hidden from our people through politically correct manipulation from the government, the media, the schools, and the churches by both the so-called "right" and "left".

Learn the missing message that links it all together, clarifies the confusion, and reveals your racial destiny, by ordering a copy of the book ***Your Heritage*** for only **$2.50.** Included will be a **FREE** copy of our Doctrinal Statement of Beliefs and lists of other books, tapes, and videos opening your eyes to amazing truths that you haven't seen before.

Kingdom Identity Ministries

P.O. Box 1021

Harrison, Arkansas 72602 USA

Visit our website at: **www.kingidentity.com**

Letters From Readers

Sir – Across Europe so called Green Parties are increasingly represented in National Parliaments and the European Assembly. Including one particularly grotesque figure here at Westminster.

One policy all so called Green Parties share is an enthusiastic acceptance of the flood of African/Asiatic invaders into Europe. Please let no one describe these hordes as refugees: they are invaders pure and simple! The pathetic, weak willed morons who make up the "Green" movement are only too keen to be seen opposing Fracking and the use of Nuclear Power etc. But are incapable of simple logic in equating millions of invaders with an ever increasing demand for more energy, more housing (nine out of ten new British Households headed by an immigrant – *Daily Telegraph* 15/11/17), more industry, more schools etc. Nor can they see that their capitalist masters in London, Frankfurt and of course Wall Street need more and more cheap labour! Coupled, of course, with fewer and fewer ideas of racial and national identity.

Mass Immigration into Europe, must in all logic, destroy for ever European agricultural land and green space, eradicate European wildlife. Yet these moronic so called Greens cannot follow the simple notion of inevitable consequence. They would maintain that they support the ideas of feminine equality and Gay Rights but are quite happy to allow Muslim preachers to preach women are inferior, advocate Sharia Law and to advocate not only persecution of Gays but in the more extreme "sermons", the execution of Gays. They were singularly reticent to condemn the mass rape and sexual assault of European Girls and Women in towns and cities across Europe (Rochdale, Rotherham, Oxford, Stockholm, Cologne etc., etc.) Many of the African hordes may not be Muslim but they hail in the main from countries barely out of the Stone Age, the wheel was not to be found in sub Saharan Africa before Europeans landed.

The "Green" Politicians would further maintain that they want improved Health Services across Europe. Well I remember a certain John Kingsley Read (National Front and National Party) warning in c.1975, when TB had all but been eradicated in Great Britain, "Asian Immigration will lead to the reintroduction of TB". For this he was vilified and several Labour Politicians wanted him prosecuted. 2016 and several East London Boroughs have similar TB infection rates to the cities of the Indian sub continent. When you hear a green bleat about the underfunding of the Health Services no mention of this or the massive cost of Aids treatment to immigrants from sub-Saharan Africa!

The "Greens" are a massive con, a safety valve for the weak minded racial masochists, the very worst of a brainwashed, docile, stupefied native population, that sense something is horribly wrong but can no longer add 2 and 2 together.

Only Racial Nationalism, a folk society can offer salvation. Managed population growth, enough to maintain indeed improve Public Services. An acceptance that the capitalist ideal of constant "economic growth" fuelled by the propaganda of materialistic gain of the individual, is in fact the lurch towards the racial and moral death of Europe to enrich the "chosen" financial elite further.

Nationalists must now present themselves as the only possible saviours of not only European peoples but European habitat and European wildlife. All other parties are by logical and morally legal standards traitors. The brainwashed and docile mass of Europeans must be shocked into getting off their knees, to fight for their children's future and soon for their very existence. Our new young leaders will have to be prepared for imprisonment because the treacherous elite who govern us will not easily let go of their privileges, granted by their unseen masters.

Yours for Race and Nation

Joyce Williams, Liverpool, England

Sir – Mr. B. Knight of Kent (Letters From Readers issue #78), is correct that Peter Marriner was indeed the West Midlands Special Branch asset (Gay-steve) in the TV programme *True Spies* (2002). Marriner was the West Midlands regional organiser for the British Movement, while *Searchlight* agent Ray Hill was the East Midlands regional organiser. Were they aware of each other's spying, one may never know to be sure? Mr Knight might not be aware that Marriner was well into the 1990s the West Midlands Regional Secretary of the National Front. It was also alleged that he was involved with Lady Jane Birdwood and her *Choice* newspaper (with Martin Webster). Maybe *H&D* readers will have more knowledge of this.

Yours for Race and Nation,

N.D. Hackett Esq., Halesowen, Worcestershire

Sir – I think I can summarize the Trump phenomenon in two sentences. *Before Trump:* The entire nationalist movement, every Nationalist in America, individuals and groups, was comprehensively underground and forbidden to work openly – going all the way back to the 1950s. *After Trump:* The Nationalist movement, especially the Alt-Right, is suddenly and manifestly above ground, operating openly and in plain sight, with ordinary individuals all over the world able to examine its work unedited, in its entirety, and without imminent threat or blackout-censorship from the New World Order. But while we're at it, Trump is a pretty good litmus test for Nationalists, who are so jaded and bitter and stupid after decades of failure that they can't see the real thing as my dad would say, "They can't find their backside with both hands". Donald Trump is the real thing. Everything he does is disastrous for the other side. He has exposed the Fake News and Pink Americans for what they really are. Everything he does, each passing day, is all-out anti-Pink revolution, and they know it. Every day Trump is on the job sets back the Pink Agenda by four days (four to one). This Russia-gate Mueller thing is a naked attempt to take Trump down by force. Damn the Nationalist movement nit-picks this poor guy to death! If Hillary had been elected, the whole country would already have been destroyed, market crashed, poverty everywhere, wars everywhere, and all Nationalists would have been shut down and imprisoned – Trump has given the Nationalist Movement a brand-new lease on life – one it didn't deserve! Every Nationalist in USofA should begin each day on his knees thanking the Gods for Donald Trump – and getting rid of the "Russia-gate" conspirators who are damned close to impeaching Trump. Years from now, after he's gone, ALT-Righters who have grown old will understand. For all those Nationalists who can't recognize Trump as the heroic Alt-Righter that he is: I say those who live in glass houses shouldn't throw stones.

Yours sincerely

Tyler Johnson, Richmond, Virginia, CSA

Sir – Lately some people have embraced and started promoting a bizarre concept they call White Sharia. It sounds every bit as degrading of women as Islam itself ! You won't attract women to a cause if you come off with an attitude that sounds like some sitcom caveman attitude. I think some people have a mistaken notion that a White Nationalist movement should serve as some sort of a dating service. If you are searching for your soulmate you should look into E Harmony, or some other online matchmaking outfit. As a movement grows and our message resonates with more and more people, there will be women

joining as well. But you won't attract them by talking about them as if they were cattle or objects of scorn. Why should they join a group that speaks about them with scorn and contempt? Who really benefits from a false and infantile attitude towards White women being promoted in pro White organizations? Certainly not the White Nationalist cause! Marxists and Zionists are the real beneficiaries of such talk. If you insist on promoting White Sharia you might as well think up some ways to repel White youth,White middle aged men, White senior citizens and so on, that way you can bitch about nobody coming forward and blame it all the ones you repel! Who came up this semitic attitude towards women?! It would not surprise me one bit to find some of our enemies behind it, pushing it on gullible, inexperienced activists as if it makes sense. Spoiler alert; it doesn't make anymore sense than shooting yourself in the head!
Yours sincerely,
John D. Alder, Port Saint Lucie, Florida

Sir – I understand the argument and agree that the media's response to White Nationalism, having lost the political debate, is to say: "look what happened when Hitler came to power, six million Jews were gassed", but I believe the fault lies not with Hitler, but with the members of the White race itself and their seeming unwillingness to explore the truth and fight for their race's existence. I also believe that some Nationalist parties and their members should shoulder some of the blame.

Down the years every other race has had its 'nationalist' heroes, worshipped *en masse* by members of that race, and bizarrely by some Whites also (Gandhi, Malcolm X, Martin Luther King, Mandela, to name but a few), whereas any person standing up for the White race is denounced as a 'racist' by all, many Whites included. This hero worshipping of non-Whites and denigration of Whites has little to do with Hitler, but has much more to do with the laziness of Whites to explore the truth, Mandela being a prime example. He was a convicted terrorist, I believe for planning to plant bombs in rubbish bins in railway stations, to kill and maim indiscriminately. He was offered early release from prison on the condition he renounced violence, which he refused to do. Whites worshipping him has more to do with crass stupidity and ignorance than with Hitler.

The two topics designed to instill guilt and self loathing in Whites are slavery and the 'holocaust', both incessantly promoted by the media. If we examine slavery, I may be wrong, but I believe there have been more white slaves than black. The Vikings raided the coastal settlements of Wales and Ireland, plundering and taking slaves centuries ago. And what about the Roman empire and its slaves? There were European slaves taken by Muslims, again centuries ago although for some reason you won't hear about them in the controlled media, Plus traditional slaves detailed in books such as, *They Were White And They Were Slaves* by Michael A. Hoffman II. Add to those the cases of child chimney sweeps, mill workers (many of them children), and women and children working down mines and in quarries alongside men, long after slavery was abolished. Whites working, and dying, in far worse conditions than any black slave on a plantation, a fact raised by an M.P. in the Houses of Parliament during Victorian times.

Slavery existed in Africa long before Whites set foot on the continent, and indeed continues to this day. When slavery was abolished a black chieftain travelled to Paris to plead for its reintroduction as his tribe's existence depended on them capturing members of other black tribes and then selling them to Europeans to be used as slaves! Slavery is therefore not a White problem; it only becomes one because most Whites are simply unable to think for themselves.

As for the 'holohoax', if Hitler had never been born the 'establishment' would simply invent some other crime to instill White guilt, for that is what the holohoax is, largely an invention. I am not proposing that no atrocities were committed in the camps, but to suggest six million died in gas chambers is pure fantasy. Innocents were murdered by both sides. The fire-bombing of cities such as Hamburg and Dresden by the British and Americans resulted in the murder of hundreds of thousands of innocent civilians.

Again, the problem lies with the fact most Whites are unable/unwilling to think for themselves. Explore and counteract that problem and we will be somewhere to finding a solution as to why the majority of Whites are not interested in preserving their race and are therefore uninterested in Nationalist politics.

White Nationalists also need to play their part, and I believe become more professional. If the AfD can go from nothing to winning 13% of the vote in Germany in just four years, the same can be achieved in Britain. In other European countries Nationalist parties have been banned by the ruling elite as they were worried they were attracting too much support. I have to take exception with Ian Freeman's conclusion that it would have been better 'had Adolf Hitler never been born' (*H&D* Sept. Oct), the inference being that the supposed crimes of Hitler's National Socialist regime are used as a stick to beat modern day Racial Nationalists with, leading to lack of support for Nationalist parties and derisory election results. I seem to remember a certain Nick Griffin making the same argument in the pages of *Identity* some years ago.
Best Regards,
Derek Summers, Cardiff, Wales

Sir – On reading *H&D* #82 there was an article by J.R. Bell – 'Nationalism – so where do we go from here?' Whilst agreeing with a lot of what Mr Bell said I have to disagree with him when he said in respect of historical enquiries, "Such freedom from existing bodies will enable this organisation to avoid those parts of our racialist tradition which are unhelpful or irrelevant, such as questioning certain aspects of the history of the second world war." In my view it is critically important that these aspects of history are questioned as this is key to any resurgence of nationalism.

The globalist enemy uses their victor's version of history to demonise and demoralise British nationalists and patriots and brainwash the native european population. The rootless clique occupying positions of power in the sphere of finance, media, education and politics in the West smear any attempt at national revival and preservation of White European societies and countries with the supposed happenings of history particularly World War 2. If we are to break free of the chains of our bondage and free both ourselves, people, nation and fellow countries we must question the history our racial enemies have force-fed the masses, because they have used this to brain-wash our people into accepting mass immigration and the imposition of the multiracial and multicultural societies in place of our traditional societies.

The only caveat I would give is that this historical questioning and challenge must be separate from any political party but it is in my opinion crucial for the success of the nationalist movement as a whole that the larger population is educated and informed of the realities of recent world history, our nation's betrayal, why this happened and who is really responsible for the situation in this country and Europe.
Yours faithfully,
Alec Suchi, Bradford, England



Movement News Update

A continuing soap opera inside the (Dis)-United Kingdom Independence Party (see page 9 of this issue) has failed to interrupt the party's continuing electoral decline. Many wards with previously strong UKIP votes did not even have a UKIP candidate at recent by-elections, including: Thanet Villages ward, **Thanet** (previous UKIP vote 22.8%); Marine ward, **Arun** (a ward in the centre of Bognor Regis, previous UKIP vote 23.8%); Armthorpe ward, **Doncaster** (previous UKIP vote 28.7%); Halton Castle ward, **Halton** (previous UKIP vote 15.5%); Grassmoor ward, **NE Derbyshire** (previous UKIP vote 23.6%); Carterton South, **W Oxfordshire** (previous UKIP vote 15.4%).

In the Birchington & Thanet Rural division, which had elected a UKIP councillor to **Kent** County Council in 2013, the party could only manage a distant fourth place with 8% at a January 11th by-election (down from 15.8% in 2017).

A by-election in Hulton ward, **Bolton** on January 18th exposed some of the confusions surrounding 'terrorism' in our wonderful multicultural Britain. Hulton is a traditionally Conservative ward which elected Tory councillors every year until 2014, when UKIP won. That UKIP councillor later defected to the Tories, but for the next two elections in 2015 and 2016 the strong UKIP presence split the Tory vote, allowing Labour to win Hulton for the first time.

Lady Michèle Renouf (*centre*) speaking in Dresden with translator Nikolai Nerling (*right*) and demonstration organiser Gerhard Ittner. Lady Renouf was questioned for several hours at Dresden police station and now faces possible criminal charges under Germany's notorious 'incitement' laws.

The death of one of these Labour councillors caused this by-election, but new Labour candidate Rabiya Jiva proved controversial, in what remains a substantially White area. Mrs Jiva is the wife of a businessman whose family home was raided by Lancashire counter-terrorist police in May 2015 as part of a money-laundering investigation. UKIP sought to exploit this story during the by-election campaign (even though no charges had ensued).

Both UKIP and local voters might easily (but wrongly) have assumed that the affair involved some form of Islamist terrorism. In fact the Jiva family are connected to a secular but controversial political party in Pakistan which represents the interests of immigrants from India, the so-called *Muhajirs*, who moved into the Sind region of Pakistan after partition. Conflict developed between these new arrivals and native *Sindhis*, especially as the *Muhajirs* tend to be better educated and business-oriented, and this conflict eventually developed a paramilitary aspect – hence the alleged 'terrorist' money-laundering. None of this is in any way connected to any form of Islamism, and paradoxically the Lancashire police raid seems to have been a political favour for the Pakistani government (which really does have Islamist terror links) but one can well understand why Hulton's voters were unimpressed by Labour's choice of candidate.

This imbroglio failed to help UKIP, whose vote collapsed from 24.5% to 6.5% – but against the nationwide trend the Conservative candidate managed to recapture the ward from Labour on a swing of almost 7%.

On January 25th in Central Wight ward, **Isle of Wight**, where UKIP had been runners-up with 25.6% in 2013, they finished bottom of the poll with just 2.2%.

Similarly in Pallion ward, **Sunderland**, where UKIP were runners-up at the previous three elections and most recently in 2016 had managed 28.9%, they slumped to a poor fourth on February 1st with 4.2%. This by-election proved that there is still a floating anti-Labour vote in many of that party's rotten boroughs, but now it tends to be the Liberal Democrats (despite their continuing irrelevance at national level, and despite their anti-Brexit stance) who can mobilise that protest vote: the Lib Dems won the Pallion ward by-election with almost 54%.

UKIP's vote halved on February 15th in the Higham Ferrers division of **Northamptonshire**, from 9.9% to 4.4% (having polled 26% here back in 2013), while on the same day in St Paul's ward, **Tendring** (the old UKIP stronghold in Clacton), a by-election occurred in circumstances bizarre even by that party's standards.

Jack Parsons had won the seat at an earlier by-election in May 2016, but defected from the party to Labour (!) in December that year, then five months later crossed the floor again to sit as an independent. In August 2017 Cllr Parsons was convicted of carrying a knife in a public place, after a drunken incident which he explained as a "cry for help", saying he had been suffering from "mental health issues" for the past fourteen years.

Then on New Year's Eve, in another apparent episode of mental confusion, Cllr Parsons emailed his resignation to the council offices, later claiming this had been an error. He tried but failed to retract the email and his resignation went ahead, hence the by-election.

UKIP's by-election candidate finished sixth in a crowded field of eight candidates, polling only 7.4% (down from 37.5% when the mentally-challenged Mr Parsons won in 2016). Stephen Andrews, co-owner of the local football club FC Clacton, finished runner-up as an Independent with 16.7%, but the Conservatives easily won the seat, consolidating their control of Tendring Council where (for the time being) the remaining eight UKIP councillors are the main opposition.

On February 22nd another UKIP stronghold saw another disastrous by-election result. Old Leake & Wrangle ward, **Boston**, had previously produced tight contests between UKIP and the Tories, but less than a week after the dramatic ousting of their leader, UKIP were predictably hammered. Perennial candidate Don Ransome (whose wife and daughters have been UKIP county councillors) polled just 6.9%, down from 47% in 2015.

With politics in Britain mostly back to the old Con-Lab duopoly, and no even semi-nationalist force seeming ready to pick up the electoral baton from the near-defunct UKIP, we must look elsewhere in Europe for signs of progress. In **Germany** the anti-immigration party AfD (Alternative for Germany) seems unscathed by persistent criticism from the left-wing media. Opinion polls suggest AfD's standing is if anything slightly higher than the 12.6% it won at last September's federal election, and embattled conservative Chancellor Angela Merkel is set to agree a new 'grand coalition' deal with her socialist rivals in the SPD, leaving AfD as the largest opposition party in the Bundestag federal parliament.

Further east populist governments in **Hungary**, Poland, Slovakia and the Czech Republic form the Visegrad alliance, whose stance on immigration (and certain other traditional moral and social questions) openly defies Western Europe's liberal consensus. Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orban has emerged as the world's most articulate populist voice, In a widely published speech at Budapest's Royal Castle on February 18th, Orban said: "We are those who think that Europe's last hope is Christianity... If hundreds of millions of young people are allowed to move north, there will be enormous pressure on Europe. If all this continues, in the big cities of Europe there will be a Muslim majority."

Orban asserted that his Fidesz party stands in solidarity "with those Western European people and leaders who want to save their country and their Christian culture." Immigration means "our worst nightmares can come true. The West falls as it fails to see Europe being overrun."

On many previous occasions Orban has specifically condemned the Jewish billionaire George Soros for financing liberal and pro-

immigration movements, and in this latest speech he continued the theme, warning pro-immigration lobby groups in Hungary that "if they do not stop their dangerous activities, we will simply expel them from the land, no matter how powerful or rich they may be."

In **Italy** the former *Lega Nord* (Northern League) has moved away from its regionalist/separatist roots and renamed itself simply *Lega*, with a stronger anti-immigration stance and less hostility to southern Italians. At the Italian general election on March 4th *Lega* and a smaller anti-immigration party 'Brothers of Italy', led by a former youth activist in the 'post-fascist' MSI, Giorgia Meloni, teamed up with controversial tycoon and four-time Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi in a 'centre-right coalition'.

Among the candidates for Ms Meloni's section of the coalition is Rachele Mussolini, granddaughter of Italy's wartime leader. It is not impossible that Ms Meloni, despite her party being much smaller than *Lega*, will become Italy's next prime minister, since the 81-year-old Berlusconi is legally barred from the top job and might prefer to block *Lega* leader Matteo Salvini. However a more likely choice is veteran Berlusconi crony Antonio Tajani, current President of the European Parliament.

Forza Nuova leader Roberto Fiore (*above left*) with his Sicilian regional leader Massimiliano Ursino, who was viciously attacked by 'anti-fascists' on February 20th in Palermo.

Race and violent 'anti-fascism' have been features of the election campaign, especially after a Nigerian immigrant was arrested for his role in the death of a young Italian woman, found dismembered at the end of January. A former Lega candidate then carried out a reprisal shooting, wounding six Africans in Macerata. the town where this death (either a murder or drug-related) had occurred. Roberto Fiore, leader of the radical nationalist party Forza Nuova and well-known to many *H&D* readers for his years in London exile during the 1980s, when he was a major force in the 'political soldier' faction of the National Front, arrived in Macerata and made a widely-publicised attack on the government's immigration policy.

Then on February 20th 'anti-fascists' staged an especially violent attack against Massimiliano Ursino, the Sicilian leader of Fiore's party. Ursino was beaten by a gang of six opponents, who bound his hand and feet with parcel tape and left him in the street in Sicily's capital Palermo. The Italian anti-terrorist police are still investigating, and there are fears that the country might be returning to the infamous red violence of the 1970s.

Interviewed by Italy's most prestigious newspaper *Corriere della Sera*, Fiore said: "I am a fascist, but I say no to shootings and beatings. ...To young people enchanted by the idea of violence I say that I lived through that in the '70s and it's the wrong way. The right way is only politics. We go into the streets to distribute food parcels to those who need them, not to shoot people."

In France the National Front (FN) headed by Marine Le Pen – seen until recently as Europe's most successful nationalist party – has had a difficult few months. On March 1st Marine Le Pen was charged with distributing "violent messages that incite terrorism or pornography or seriously harm human dignity", in relation to graphic images of IS terrorism that she had posted on Twitter in 2015. The blatantly political charges are only one of the FN leader's difficulties: she also faces continuing internal dissension, with some of her allies even planning to change the party's name.

Here in the UK several nationalist activists have been trying to build links between different sections of the movement. On Saturday 27th January, two nationalist meetings took place. One in Bristol, organised by the South West Forum and one in Blackpool organised by Boadicea Events. Over 40 nationalists attended each event.

Following the appalling violence on pensioners, women and disabled, last year, when Antifa stormed the South West Forum meeting, the police appeared to be far more concerned, this time around. Event organiser Julie Lake received a phone call on the eve of the meeting from police, with a tip-off that a violent attack on the meeting was planned, apparently targeting Ms Lake personally.

Unfortunately, the police presence on the morning of the meeting resulted in the venue holder (who the night before had been more than happy for the meeting to go ahead) getting cold feet. Organisers had to wait at a nearby RV point, despite having now lost the venue, for nationalists to arrive, then moved to an alternative venue three miles away where a lunch (courtesy of Western Spring's Max Musson) and meeting were held. Meantime Richard Edmonds had made his planned speech for video purposes in a nearby church, filmed by London Forum's Sted Steadman.

Michael Woodbridge read to the meeting a letter he had received just after Christmas, from one of our political prisoners, Lawrence Burns, and Adrian Romilly recited an emotional poem.

On the same day in Blackpool, Boadicea Events held a second very successful event in Blackpool. The event included speeches by Jez Turner, of the London Forum, who is the current holder of the Jonathan Bowden Society Award for public speaking. Jez gave an extremely rousing speech which entertained and informed the audience with his eloquent style of academic satire.

Steve Frost, the national secretary of the British Movement gave a rousing and very informative speech about the national socialist values of his organisation and also of the constant and often violent hate based attacks of anti-White groups, which are allowed to persist.

The now 'infamous' musical satirist Alison Chabloz provided a wonderful set of her comedic songs and musical accompaniment about those remarkably numerous and influential (((survivors))), and there were further entertaining and informative speeches by former BNP stalwart, Ian Kitchen; Reverend James Mac, of the Church of Creativity England; and Mike Whitby, Chairman of the British Voice.

Check the *H&D* website at **www.heritageanddestiny.com** for links to online video coverage of both the SW Forum and Boadicea Events.

On February 17th two Britons attended a commemorative rally marking the anniversary of the terror bombing of Dresden in 1944, when around 500,000 civilians including many refugee women and children were killed. Lady Michèle Renouf of **www.jewishrepublic.com** had not intended to speak at the event, but after encountering German mourners who questioned whether Britons should dare to appear in Dresden (given the RAF's responsibility for the mass slaughter in 1945) Lady Renouf gave an impromptu speech at the outdoor event explaining some of the background: there had been outspoken British opponents of the terror bombing, but tragically and disgracefully policy planners around Churchill had deliberately set out to butcher civilians.

German police closed down the event and began a criminal investigation of Lady Renouf's speech under the country's notorious "incitement" law. She was taken to Dresden's central police station and interrogated for several hours, while the rally was dispersed. Criminal charges might follow, but sections of Germany's establishment are beginning to question the wisdom of this "incitement" law, which criminalises various forms of historical, scientific and political discussion that would be perfectly normal in the USA or even Britain. The strategy of criminalising dissent appears to be rebounding on "Western" rulers, with populist governments in Central and Eastern Europe enacting their own version of such laws. For example Poland recently criminalised any reference to Polish complicity in the 'Holocaust', causing howls of outrage from Jewish lobby groups.

Peter Rushton, Manchester, England



Movie Review – *The Man With The Iron Heart*

Released UK – January 2018. Distributed by: Mars Films (France). Directed by: Cédric Jimenez e; Rated: PG-15; Running time: 120 minutes

As per normal now, looking for a movie, even a semi-decent one, to review for this issue was no easy matter, as both of my two local cinemas – The Vue in Walton Le-Dale and The Odeon located in Preston Docklands were not showing any of the ones I fancied. So it was onto the internet, to find the movie I was after on DVD. And for less than a tenner I picked up a copy of the *Man with the Iron Heart* almost straight away.

The film is roughly based on the Laurent Binet's novel *HHhH* about Operation Anthropoid, the assassination of SS leader Reinhard Heydrich in Prague. The title is an acronym for *Himmlers Hirn heißt Heydrich* ("Himmler's brain is called Heydrich"), a quip about Heydrich said to have circulated in the NSDAP. Cédric Jimenez directed the movie based on the script he co-wrote with David Farr and Audrey Diwan.

Rather unusually, Jimenez splits the movie into roughly two halves, the first of which charts the ascent to power of Heydrich, a sub-lieutenant *(Oberleutnant zur See)*, in the German Navy, who is initially persuaded to join the NSDAP by his wife, who had joined the party in the mid-1920s. However Heydrich did have a movement background of sorts. In 1919, at the age of 15, he joined Maercker's Volunteer Rifles (a right-wing paramilitary Freikorps unit). He was part of the force assigned to protect private property from the Communists. Later he joined the *Deutschvölkischer Schutz und Trutzbund* (German Nationalist Protection and Defiance Federation). Formed in 1918, it was one of the largest and most important organizations of the German *völkisch* movement during the Weimar Republic.

The second half catches up with the Czechoslovak Resistance fighters who plot Heydrich's assassination. So less a two-stories-for-the-price-of-one situation than essentially two movies of about an hour each, this is nonetheless a visually impressive Hollywood calling card for Jimenez, who almost manages to overcome the material's structural weaknesses with impressive directorial verve.

The movie opens with a quick flash forward to the moments just before the assassination, only to then backtrack to the German port city of Kiel in 1931, when Heydrich (Jason Clarke) was court-martialed and charged with "conduct unbecoming to an officer and gentleman" for breaking an engagement promise to a woman he had an affair with for six months before his engagement to his future wife, Lina von Osten (Rosamund Pike), who later suggests he join the NSDAP, which subsequently leads to work for Himmler (Stephen Graham), and the invitation to lead the party intelligence agency, the SD.

There is a strange unease with the first part of the movie and Jimenez plays with this unease in a fascinating manner, alternating what at first sight feel like tender domestic scenes of the Heydrichs and their children with scenes of Reinhard involved in increasingly violent and then lethal scenarios as the NSDAP come into power and WWII breaks out.

Jimenez and his editor, Chris Dickens, not only cut between Heydrich's very different professional and private lives but even within sequences they sometimes take a montage-collage approach. This is the case in the court-martial scenes, which are intercut with Reinhard's violent outburst of anger afterwards. This approach lends the proceedings jagged edges from the start, instilling a sense of unease and feeding into a realization that underneath that placid, untelling exterior, an unfeeling monster might be not only lurking but actually seething. This dichotomy arguably reaches its cold-blooded apex at a party scene at the Heydrichs, where Lina is told by one of her husband's colleagues that Hitler has nicknamed her husband "the man with the iron heart," while in the background, he lifts up and plays with his baby son.

While Reinhard's character can almost be reduced to his placid exterior/monstrous interior duality, Lina's character is more complex. She starts off as an early party member who genuinely believed that Hitler could turn Germany around and who got her man out of the dumps and into a lucrative career but who finally finds herself married to someone who's always absent and treats her like a glorified caretaker of his children.

Almost an hour has gone by before Slovak resistance fighter, Jan (Jack O'Connell), and his Czech colleague, Jozef (Jack Reynor, from the more upbeat *Sing Street*), leave their exile in Scotland, and are parachuted into the Czechoslovak countryside and make their way to Prague, where the Resistance hides the duo while they prepare their mission. There is very little background here about how the two were supported by the Czechoslovak government-in-exile and even in the character department, Jan, Jozef, their resistance contacts and the local girls they hang out with remain outlines rather than fully developed characters.

This is especially noteworthy when the girls are compared to Lina, the wife of the Heydrich, who, somewhat unexpectedly, is a much more three-dimensional figure than the two stick-figure girlfriends the movies "heroes" find themselves attracted to. (One of them – Anna Novak – is played by Mia Wasikowska, who doesn't have much more to do than kiss Jan, wash his hair and dance around in slow-motion!) And while the first half is a near-constant crescendo of anxiety and tension, the second half alternates between a few edgily staged setpieces and quieter moments during which much of the tension dissipates, resulting in an uneven rhythm as the movie marches towards its final showdown, a shootout and subsequent flooding of a church.

While the screenplay, structure and rhythm are far from perfect, there's no denying that Jimenez is a talented director of actors and action and that he excels at getting the most out of each shot in terms of production value. Besides sticking to the point-of-view of Heydrich for half the running time, Jimenez further reinforces the impression of the story being told from the inside out by having cinematographer Laurent Tangy work mostly with handheld cameras, which place the audience right in the thick of things. And until the finale, when it become borderline maudlin, Guillaume Roussel's otherwise roving and pounding score is used very effectively.

In the end, Lina emerges as the unlikely central character, with Pike infusing her with some of the icy, 'Gone Girl' toughness but then softening her somewhat as her disappointment with her husband grows. Clarke is appropriately terrifying but his character is, of course, almost impossible to warm to (unless you are one of the died in the wool NS activists reading this!), while the two Jacks are affable lads in underdeveloped roles, with Jimenez smartly closing the film with the rather upbeat first encounter between Jan and Jozef on the back of a hay-truck.

I guess from a historical perspective, it was not too bad, compared with most WWII movies. Yes, it would have been nice to see it on the big screen, but that was not to be. However, I get to keep the DVD, unless I lend it to one of those nationalists who never return things!

Reviewed by Mark Cotterill, Preston, Lancashire